Washington, DC 20005

24

25

1 APPEARANCES - CONTINUED 2 3 FOR DEFENDANT EDWARD CONSTANTINESCU: Matthew A. Ford 4 Cara Janine Filippelli 5 FORD O'BRIEN LANDY 3700 Ranch Road 620 South 6 Austin, Texas 78738 7 FOR DEFENDANT PERRY PJ MATLOCK: 8 Luis A. Reyes ASHCROFT LAW FIRM 9 919 Congress Avenue Suite 1500 10 Austin, Texas 78701 11 Johnny Keane Sutton ASHCROFT SUTTON REYES LLC 919 Congress Ave 12 Suite 1100 13 Austin, Texas 78701 William M Stradley 14 Attorney at Law 15 1545 Heights Boulevard Suite 200 16 Houston, Texas 77008 17 18 FOR DEFENDANT JOHN RYBARCZYK: 19 Philip H. Hilder Ouentin Tate Williams 20 HILDER & ASSOCIATES PC 21 819 Lovett Boulevard Houston, Texas 77006 22 Eric S. Rosen 23 FREEDMAN NORMAND FRIEDLAND LLP 225 Franklin Street 24 Twenty-Sixth Floor 25 Boston, Massachusetts 02110

1 APPEARANCES CONTINUED 2 3 FOR DEFENDANT GARY DEEL: 4 No appearance 5 FOR DEFENDANT STEFAN HRVATIN: 6 Edward Mallett 7 MALLETT SAPER BERG LLP 4306 Yoakum Boulevard 8 Suite 400 Houston, Texas 77006 9 FOR DEFENDANT TOM COOPERMAN: 10 Chip B. Lewis Attorney at Law 11 1207 South Shepherd Drive Houston, Texas 77019 12 13 Sina M. Zadeh SINA ZADEH LAW FIRM 1001 McKinney Street 14 Suite 803 15 Houston, Texas 77002 16 FOR DEFENDANT MITCHELL HENNESSEY: 17 Laura MK Cordova JACKSON WALKER LLP 1401 McKinney Street 18 Suite 1900 Houston, Texas 77010 19 20 Michael James Murtha JACKSON WALKER LLP 21 2323 Ross Avenue Suite 600 22 Dallas, Texas 75201 23 24 25

APPEARANCES CONTINUED FOR DEFENDANT DANIEL KNIGHT: Cordt C. Akers THE AKERS FIRM 3401 Allen Parkway Suite 101 Houston, Texas 77019 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR U.S. Courthouse 515 Rusk, Room 8004 Houston, Texas 77002 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.

PROCEEDINGS 09:53 1 2 THE COURT: Counsel, I'm concerned about making sure this case gets on track, and that's really the reason -- I 3 mean, I could have ruled on some of these motions in chambers 4 and just -- without the benefit of a hearing, but I want to 10:15 5 6 make sure that this case is on track and that we go to trial 7 when it's set for trial. 8 And just for the record, we are here in 9 22-CR-612, United States of America versus Edward 10 Constantinescu, et al. 10:16 11 I guess I should call roll here. 12 Who is here for the government? 13 MR. ARMSTRONG: Good morning, Your Honor. 14 Armstrong for the United States. Joined at counsel table by John Liolos, Heyward Carter, Yifei Zheng and Agent Dillon. 15 10:16 16 THE COURT: For Mr. Constantinescu? 17 MR. FORD: It's Constantinescu. I'm Matthew Ford here, along with my colleague, Cara Filippelli. 18 THE COURT: I was robbing him of a syllable. 19 20 sorry. 10:16 21 MR. FORD: We will also accept Constantin. 22 THE COURT: I would have better luck with that. Who is here for Mr. Matlock? 23 24 MR. REYES: Good morning, Your Honor. Luis Reyes for 25 Mr. Matlock, and I'm also here with Johnny Sutton and Bill 10:16

10:17	1	Stradley who just appeared in the case.
	2	THE COURT: All right. For Mr. Rybarczyk?
	3	MR. HILDER: Philip Hilder, and I'm joined with Tate
	4	Williams and Eric Rosen.
10:17	5	THE COURT: All right. Do we have anyone here for
	6	Mr. Deel?
	7	(No response)
	8	THE COURT: They don't really have a dog in any of
	9	today's fights.
10:17	10	Hrvatin. Help me here. Mr. Mallett?
	11	MR. MALLETT: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Ed
	12	Mallett for Mr. Hrvatin.
	13	THE COURT: Hrvatin.
	14	For Mr. Cooperman?
10:17	15	MR. LEWIS: Chip Lewis and Sina Zadeh here for
	16	Mr. Cooperman.
	17	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Hennessey?
	18	MS. CORDOVA: Good morning, Your Honor. Laura Cordova
	19	and Michael Murtha on behalf of Mr. Hennessey, who is here with
10:18	20	his mother and his girlfriend.
	21	THE COURT: And Mr. Knight?
	22	MR. AKERS: Cordt Akers on behalf of Daniel Knight.
	23	THE COURT: Let me first get something out of the way.
	24	I'm denying the motion to return passports that has been filed.
10:18	25	I'm not going to let anybody leave the country. That's a
		.I

10:18 10:18 10:19 10:19 10:20

10:20

blanket ruling. Covers everybody. I mean, if somebody can come and show just an unbelievably important, outrageous reason, I might consider it, but I'm not doing that. So I think Judge Palermo set out the reasons, and I agree with them.

What I'm really concerned about, quite frankly, is the motion Mr. Hilder filed about a bill of particulars.

And I'm not necessarily so worried about specifics at this point in time, but what I really am worried about is that the defendants get everything they need in time for that information to be useful.

And so, Mr. Armstrong, why don't you -- I think Mr. Hilder set out his complaints. Why don't you kind of respond to that? And by way of doing that, I mean, I don't need a legal response. I mean, we all know what the rules are and the case law is on this.

But tell me how you see this unfolding and then, Mr. Hilder, I'm going to let you respond to that and tell me why that doesn't work.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Judge. Good morning.

So we have taken great lengths to produce discovery in this case in a timely and usable fashion. That includes pushing out in early January the bulk, if not all, of the defendants' social media activity. That includes their tweets. That includes their chats on something called Discord, which is basically just an online chat room. It also includes

10:20 10:20 10:20 10:21

10:21

10:21

24

their --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I had to look that up. THE COURT:

MR. ARMSTRONG: It also includes their trading So if you match up the Discord chats and the tweets and the trading records, it becomes pretty obvious that the defendants are trading opposite to what they are saying to the public and what they are saying to their followers. So those two pieces of information are the pillars of our case.

Now, will there be more production forthcoming? Absolutely. It happens in every case. But we made great efforts to make sure that they had the critical mass in January so we don't have a problem where we are asking to move the trial come September or come August.

What we are going to do, as well, to the point raised in Mr. Hilder's motion, is that this month we are also going to voluntarily give the list of the tickers and the general date ranges that goes into the figures cited in paragraph 1 of the superseding indictment.

So with that information, the defendants should have, again, a critical mass of information to mount an effective defense.

THE COURT: Mr. Hilder, do you want to weigh in? MR. HILDER: Sure, Judge. I'm going to let my colleague, Tate Williams, respond.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Williams.

10:21

10:22 10

10:22 15

10:22

10:22 25

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, we are on our second indictment in two months. In the first one, they said it was \$114 million. Although, if you add everything up in the indictment, it only adds up to 14. So there's a delta, a gap there of 100 million bucks that they have told grand juries about over two months, but they haven't found time to tell us yet. That's the fundamental problem.

So even assuming that all the stocks that's the basis of the \$114 million allegation are communicated to us, we need a firm commitment that that's all there are and we are not going to get ambushed with additional stocks close to or at trial. Otherwise, we are going to play whack-amole, which is going to cause further continuances and delays in trial as we need time to investigate, have experts review.

But then, what you haven't heard is about the discovery they have given to us. It's voluminous. I think different defendants may have different opinions about how useful it is, but the bottom line is, they are saying, Oh, it's this social media. They have got it all. Go look. That's like me asking which bricks in Minute Maid Park are rotten and need to be replaced, and they will say, Go look at every brick in the baseball stadium. That's not helpful.

And so we have voluminous amounts of social media. We've got voluminous amount of trade data. They are going to say, Here are the date ranges. Go look.

10:22 10:23 10:23 10:23 10:24

10:24

I suspect those date ranges are going to be an entire calendar year, and we have got all their trade data, not limited to whatever the basis of \$114 million are. So what they are essentially saying is, We have given you all their social media, we have given you all trade data, go fish. That's not helpful, and we can't even evaluate the utility of the discovery that's been provided without receiving a definite list of the fraudulent stock transactions within a certain date by a date certain. And then only after that, can we evaluate the utility of discovery --

THE COURT: Let me stop you there, Mr. Williams. Help me here. I just yesterday read the latest indictment, the superseding indictment, and they list the stock and they list a period. And so why can't the defendants match up their own stock trades and their own tweets and their own emails during that period concerning that stock?

I understand from Mr. Armstrong, if you don't have them, he has already given them back to you.

MR. WILLIAMS: He hasn't, Judge, and what you are seeing is the tip of the iceberg. You are focused on the substantive counts of stock fraud. The conspiracy is much larger.

And so if you take the stocks that are identified in Counts Two through Twenty and you add them up, it ain't \$114 million. It is more like fourteen to twenty.

10:24

10:24

10:25

10:25

10:25 25 When we get to trial, they are going to have summary charts, and they're going to bring evidence of the other \$100 million. They haven't. I have asked them for it. They won't deny it. They say they are going to do it, but they haven't yet. We need to know what the other \$100 million is, and only when we get all of those stocks and we are told, This is it, there is no more surprises, can we then see if we can go back and get the social media activity.

This case is not confined to the substantive count stocks. They have said that in writing to us. They have said it in the indictment. It's a lot bigger than that.

That's a tease. That's the tip of the iceberg. It is what is under the water that sank the Titanic, not what they can see on top.

THE COURT: If I understand what you are saying -- and I may just be repeating what you are saying, but let me make sure I understand the main focus of your argument, which is, Look, we can do what the Court has suggested for Counts Two through X.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: But for Count One, the conspiracy count, one, we can't do that, although they give you a lot of facts before they even get to Count One to back that up. But what you can't do is somehow get from the 14 to the 114? And if they are going to come in with a conspiracy claim that amounts

to \$114 million, we have no clue.

10:25

10:26

10:26

10:26

10:27

10:27

MR. WILLIAMS: That's precise. I will illustrate what they can do and what they should do for everything else. For the initial substantive counts in the initial indictment, when they gave us the first round of discovery, they produced social media in at least three different buckets. One of them was we'll say a 1,500 page PDF of all of my client's twitter feeds for the relevant time period. Another bucket was a bunch of column-separated values in an Excel spreadsheet that we could wallpaper this courtroom with. It's that big. And the third bucket was a PDF of his tweets about the individual stock. Yes. It's that big, Your Honor. If we printed it out, I suspect it would cover the room.

For each individual identified stock and the replies, we can put it up and say, Okay, they are saying with respect to X stock, he said these things. So we can take the trade data and we can take where they can segregate it off the relevant social media and we can see that. So they did a somewhat decent job of that with some of the first identified stock. Some of that I believe they inherited from the SEC. We will give credit elsewhere where it is due. We need that and more for the whole 114 because it's not just the stock ticker. Because they decided to charge this under this section of the statute, they said, You made specific fraudulent misrepresentations; therefore, we need to know what those are.

10:27 10:27 10:28 10:28 10:28

10:28

Just pointing me to the ether of that great big spreadsheet of wallpaper saying "go find social media" isn't enough. If they can do what they have already done for a handful of stocks and segregate that off and tell us what is false, we think that that would comport with the constitutional requirements, as well. They have done it for a few. They can do it for all of them, but just identifying all relevant stocks would be a great starting point.

THE COURT: Let's start with, Mr. Armstrong, start with the money. We seem to have a \$100 million gap here.

MR. ARMSTRONG: If I may, Your Honor, I don't think there is actually a lot that's in dispute. We told defense counsel that we would be providing the list of tickers and the date range for those tickers that feed into the conspiracy count by the end of the month, and we told them that maybe a week or so ago.

THE COURT: And if we look at those stocks, are we going to close that \$100 million gap?

MR. ARMSTRONG: Exactly. And so no one is trying to hide the ball here. The reason why we are giving them that list at the end of the month is to avoid the same concerns that Mr. Williams just raised where we had a shifting landscape where we are adding tickers here or we're changing the list.

The list that we provide at the end of the month, as we maintained, is going to be the list that we will be

presenting at trial, and that is the list that feeds into the

10:28

10:29

10:29

10:29

10:30

10:30

\$114 million allegation in Count One. So I really think we are talking past each other a little bit on that point, because we are going to provide that information. And we said we are going to do it voluntarily, and we are going to do it eight months before trial.

THE COURT: Okay Here's what I'm going to do I'm

THE COURT: Okay. Here's what I'm going to do. I'm going to overrule your motion for right now, Mr. Williams, in anticipation of this new production. But in doing this, I want to make it clear -- and it's not just for Mr. Williams's client but for all the defendants -- that I expect the government to produce basically everything. I mean, there's no reason -- I mean, they can read the superseding indictment, just like I read it yesterday. I mean, they know what the allegations are going to be, and they can't change the facts. Now, maybe they have a different explanation than the government has. So there's no downside for the government. There's no downside to the defendants in everybody knowing everything. So I expect that to be done.

Now, having said that, I mean, the government doesn't have to do all the defense lawyers' work. I mean, you know, that's what you guys are hired to do, but the defense lawyers need the information. I mean, they are all capable. They can figure out and they can piece together -- if they know what the stock is and they know what the trades are, they can

figure out what their client said about X, Y, Z stock and what they did or didn't do, but they have got to have that information so -- and I'm stressing it now on the front end because I don't want to have this conversation, as you said, Mr. Armstrong, in August or September, because I want to get this case tried and these defendants want to get on with their lives. And let's move forward with it.

So, Mr. Williams, I'm not -- I am overruling your motion with respect to compelling anything right now, but I expect -- I want to follow this. And, Mr. Williams, once you get it and if there's no explanations for the \$100-million gap, then turn around and refile something and say, Judge, we have got the information, we have looked at it now, it doesn't help.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, that's close to what I was going to suggest; that you take the matter and hold it in abeyance until after they meet their self-imposed deadline, which the Court has, thankfully, adopted.

To the extent other people have a different opinion about the sufficiency of their production, or we do, that they can weigh in at that point in time.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, it's very easy to see what is coming around the corner on this issue. Because what we are not going to do, and what the law does not require -- the Fifth Circuit is pretty clear about it -- is we are not going to provide all the evidentiary basis for each one of the

19

20

21

22

23

25

10:33

24

10:33

individual tickers that we are going to provide in March. I think that that has no legal basis. So we're not going to say, for example, on this ticker -- I'm just making up a name -- Ticker A, Here are all of the false statements for each one of the seven defendants. That goes to the evidentiary proof as to that ticker, and that is to your point, doing the defendants' work for them. So we are not going to do that unless we are ordered to.

THE COURT: I understand that. I'm not asking you to subdivide everything, but I am asking you to produce -- I mean, they have a right to know. I mean, 14 and 114 is a pretty big gap, and you need to close that gap.

MR. ARMSTRONG: And we absolutely will, Your Honor.

Just to put meat on the bones, what we expect, as well, is that we are going to have an expert in this case who is going to provide the precise calculations in an expert report, and we are amenable to a scheduling order that says the date certain when that expert report has to be due. But as of the information that we are going to be providing in March, it is just going to be the tickers and the date range. And, of course, with the allegations and with the underpinnings that are alleged in the indictment, the defendants can then put the pieces together.

THE COURT: And I'm going to want a scheduling order, but I prefer y'all to, maybe even after this hearing, sit down

and talk about that, because they need that expert report. 10:33 1 You're going to need it for trial anyway. So, I mean, you are 2 going to be doing it whether I order it or not. They need it, 3 but they also need time to look at it and respond to it or get 4 5 a contrary expert. 10:33 6 So, you know, I would think, you know, as far as 7 experts go, May or June, sometime early enough where they have 8 a chance to respond. I will let y'all visit on that. 9 y'all come up with something that everybody agrees to, I will adopt it. Otherwise, I will just impose one, but I think 10 10:34 11 that's important. 12 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Judge. 13 THE COURT: I mean, maybe it's because of where I grew up as a judge, you know, trying cases in Brownsville. The U.S. 14 15 Attorney's Office down there basically said, Come look at my 10:34 file. And so I -- that worked out for everybody and, you know, 16 17 it didn't hurt the government and the defendant -- I never had a Brady claim or anything that remotely resembled it. 18 So I want y'all to be forthcoming, but I'm 19 warning the defendants, I also don't expect you to do their 20 10:34 work for them. 21 Thank you, Judge. 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Now, we do have some motions to dismiss. 25 Ms. Cordova, I think yours is the first one 10:35

sitting here on my stack.

10:35

10:35

10:35

10:36

10:36

10:36

MS. CORDOVA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: This is on behalf of Mr. Hennessey?

MS. CORDOVA: Yes, Your Honor. We had moved to dismiss Counts Eight, Nine and Eleven because they fail to allege a false representation which goes to the core of criminality under the securities fraud statute. Without a false representation, there is no securities fraud. It's not just one of these elements that courts have found does not go to the core of criminality. The false pretense, the false representation is the core of criminality of a 1348 violation.

And this is important, and I want to follow up on the prior conversation about the bill of particulars. The reason it's so important here is that in the counts where the government has provided alleged false statements, we have scrutinized the evidence and identified ways in which we believe those statements are not, in fact, false. We would present evidence at trial that those were not false statements.

If we are going through our clients' tweets, Discord messages, looking for a false statement but find none because we know the evidence apparently better than the government and we are able to identify why this was a true statement, this was a true statement, this was a true statement, we don't have fair notice of what the allegations are. And so we need to know what the false representations

10:37

10:38 25 are. Those go to the core of criminality, and it's not enough for us to look at the tweets and look at the trades, because we have done that, and we have not identified falsity. Therefore, those counts -- and the government -- our view is that that's not cured by a bill of particulars because the government cannot stand -- the prosecutors cannot stand in the role of the grand jury and identify the core of criminality with respect to the statute. So that's why we have moved to dismiss those three counts, Counts Eight, Nine and Eleven.

They are a different bit little, so I want to talk about the difference. Counts Eight and Nine allege absolutely no specific statements; just a month, a year, a ticker and then a general -- really a group pleading statement that defendants made false statements, without identifying which defendants or which false statements with respect to RGLS, which is Counts Eight and Nine.

Count Eleven is a little bit different because the government has alleged in the superseding indictment specific allegedly false statements. Those statements were made by other defendants, allegedly, not by Mr. Hennessey. Therefore, there is nowhere in the indictment that explains to Mr. Hennessey how he committed securities fraud with respect to Count Eleven. There's no false representation. There's no trade. He is not even alleged to have traded ALZN, which is Count Eleven. He has not alleged any specific profit, any

10:38

10:38

10:38

10:39

10:39 25

specific tweet or Discord message. Nothing. No statement by him. No trading activity by Mr. Hennessey.

Again, they allege a time frame, and they allege statements by other people, but they have not put Mr. Hennessey on notice of why he is alleged to have violated Section 1348, the securities fraud statute, with respect to that specific stock. Therefore, we don't think it would be appropriate for a bill of particulars or for discovery to fulfill the role of what is essentially the grand jury's role, which is to identify the core elements of criminality as to why Mr. Hennessey has been charged in Counts Eight, Nine and Eleven, and, therefore, we believe those should be dismissed.

THE COURT: All right. Who wants to answer for the government?

Go ahead, Mr. Armstrong.

MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Judge. It's a three-part test, as the Court knows, for whether an indictment is sufficient. Does it track the elements? Does it fairly inform the defendant as to the charges? And does it protect against double jeopardy?

In this case, there is really no dispute about the second or third prong, so the only issue is, is there protection -- I'm sorry -- is there sufficient facts and allegations to fairly inform the defendant as to the charges?

And this is not your normal indictment. It

10:39

10:40

10:40

10:40

10:40

has 15 paragraphs of essentially general allegations; talking exactly in paragraph 1 even, what exactly the scheme was, who was in it and how it operated. And then there are 90 paragraphs that detail some specific examples of about 10 tickers.

It kind of defies, you know, a fair reading of the indictment to then claim that there is not fair notice as to the pump-and-dump scheme for this specific ticker, for this specific range that are charged in Counts, what is it, Eight, Nine and Eleven. And so a fair reading of the indictment certainly fairly informs the defendant as to what the nature of the offense is.

THE COURT: Does the indictment have to include the false statements? I mean, you are alleging they made a false statement.

MR. ARMSTRONG: That goes to the evidentiary proof that we are going to present at trial, which is not what is required. What is required, and what we have done, is allege the facts that inform the defendant as to the nature of the scheme, which is, as pointed out in paragraph 1, this is a pump-and-dump scheme that involves three steps. They preloaded the shares. They tweeted out false information, or disseminated false information on Discord to induce others to buy, which would raise the price. And then on the heels of that, they sold their shares, not to themselves. That is laid

out in paragraph 1 of the indictment. To say that they don't 10:40 1 know the contours of the charged conduct defies a fair reading 2 of the indictment. 3 Okay. Mr. Hilder, your client, or 4 THE COURT: Mr. Williams also has a motion to dismiss, don't they? 10:41 5 6 MR. WILLIAMS: No, Your Honor. I believe that is 7 Mr. Constantinescu. THE COURT: It is. I'm sorry. 8 9 Mr. Ford? 10 MR. FORD: Good morning, Your Honor. 10:41 11 We are moving to dismiss Count Twenty-one of the indictment against Mr. Constantinescu. It alleges a count of 12 engaging in monetary transactions in property derived from 13 specified unlawful activity. 14 Eighteen USC, Section 1957 refers you to 15 10:41 16 Section 1956 for the definition of specified unlawful activity. That list -- it provides an incredibly long list of specific 17 activities. Included in that is a reference to 1961, which 18 lists certain racketeering activity. 19 Under Subsection 1B of 1961, the legislature has 20 10:42 21 listed in an exclusive list over 90 different Title 18 22 In those 90 offenses, they have included four of the offenses. six substantive offenses under Chapter 63. That includes mail 23 fraud, wire fraud, bank fraud and fraud in the provision of 24 25 foreign labor services. Interestingly, that last one was added 10:42

10:42 10:42 10:43 10:43 10:43

10:44

in 2013 after Section 1348 was adopted, at which time the legislature could have chosen to include 1348. They specifically included (sic) it from the list. I think from the plain language --

MR. FORD: Specifically excluded it. I think it's clear from the plain language of the statute, as well as the structure and intent, that Section 1348 was not intended to be covered under 1957 as specified unlawful activity.

THE COURT: They excluded it from the list?

Now, the government has bent over backwards to try to take, I think, out of context a clause that appears much later in the long text of 1961 where it includes fraud in the sale of securities. That's the language we are dealing with and arguing about today.

We think that language speaks for itself. The securities fraud statutes are clear in different formulas that they can use to encompass broad classes of activities. What the legislature did with regard to -- I mentioned four of the six in Chapter 63. Those Title 18 fraud offenses are included in 1961.

One of the other ones that's omitted is certain health care fraud. What the legislature has done in 1956 is include incredibly broad language, any conduct that could be considered a federal health care fraud offense. Right? They know how to include a broad category.

10:44 10:44 10:44 10:44

10:45

10:45

If the legislature intended to include all securities fraud, and specifically 1348, all they needed to do is take that same health care provision and replace the word "health" with "security," and it would have encompassed it. Instead what they did is they used a narrow phrase, fraud in the sale of securities.

If we look in other areas, we see the formulation that we see in 1348, as well as in the securities laws and regulations, which is the use of the phrase "in connection with" or "in connection with the purchase or sale of securities."

The Ninth Circuit in U.S. v Deeb already had a chance to look at this. I think they described the government's interpretation of 1348 as, you know, way outside the bounds in a tortured reading.

The legislature knows if they wanted to use the broad phrase "in connection with" or "in connection with the purchase or sale of securities," they could have done so.

This is not an academic exercise. We think that the legislature, because this is a money laundering offense based on racketeering, was attempting to target specific types of activities, mainly fraud in the actual sale or selling of securities.

If you go through, it's fascinating. The cases the government cited -- we went through all of them with a

10:45

10:46

10:46

10:46

10:47

fine-toothed comb. Not a single one uses 1348 as a predicate.

The one case that they were able to find was an unpublished adopted report and recommendation of a judge in the Southern District of Florida -- unpublished but adopted the report and recommendation. It includes mail and wire fraud, so the argument that I'm making here today was never raised.

If you look at the other cases, you will see the same trend, which is, what they are talking about is unregistered securities, offerings that were issued by companies generally in the form of a private placement memorandum through a fund.

THE COURT: So if I sell you a stock, and I lie to you about it and unknowingly commit fraud, and you buy it, and you are damaged, have I violated the statute?

MR. FORD: It's a fascinating question, and let me answer. The courts have found yes, but the problem is those facts are not even close to being alleged in this. There's no allegation that my client, or really anybody in the room, but specifically my client, engaged in a direct transaction with anybody to sell a security. Every transaction was a bona fide market transaction.

The allegation is that prior to that, they allegedly increased the price of the stock through their tweeting, but that is not a sale -- a fraud in the sale of the securities. The sale itself was bona fide, and I don't think

anybody will disagree.

10:47

10:47

10:47

10:47

10:48

10:48

And so if you go back to these cases, what I'm stressing is, where you have, for example, a fund, right, they will accept a subscription agreement from the client. It is that subscription agreement that represents the purchase and sale in that situation. So if that subscription in the fund is fraudulent, that's why the courts are saying this constitutes fraud in the sale in the sense that the client that is purchasing the interest or the security in the fund is actually buying it directly from that individual who is then misusing it. We simply do not have facts.

And there's an interesting piece to this, which is in 1348. If you look at the language of it, it says "artifice or scheme to defraud in connection with" --

THE COURT: Artificial?

MR. FORD: Artifice or scheme in connection with, and then it limits it to registered securities. The cases they are citing are dealing with unregistered securities. In other words, private placements or offerings that are going directly to investors who are directly purchasing from the individual who is engaging in the fraud in the process of selling it.

There is one final piece to this, which is that there is a securities fraud listed as a predicate to 1957. It is fraud in the sale of counterfeit or forged securities. I think what the legislature is doing is pretty clear. They are

trying to get to a slightly broader sort of concept of fraud in 10:48 1 2 the sale, which includes the cases, quite possibly, that we have looked at, none of which include 1348. They are 10b-5 3 cases. But in every one, we are talking about Ponzi schemes. 4 We're talking about people who are running funds, who are 10:48 5 6 giving offerings that are valueless or worthless that have 7 nothing backing them. Each of those instances would clearly 8 constitute fraud in the sale of securities. 9 I just don't see the allegations here. From what 10 the indictment says, what the government is saying and 10:49 11 everything we see and understand, the sales they are talking about were bona fide transactions in the marketplace, and I can 12 assure you that you will not see any direct transactions 13 14 between my client and any public person. It just didn't 15 happen. 10:49 16 Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: 17 Mr. Armstrong? Wow. Someone new. MR. LIOLOS: Good morning, Judge. John Liolos on 18 behalf of the United States. 19 20 Your Honor, the defense -- we seem to agree on 10:49 21 one thing, and it's that the language, fraud in the sale of 22 securities, speaks for itself. The defendant presents what he claims is a novel 23 statutory argument, but it is not really a close question. 24 25 think it only seems to be novel because no one has challenged 10:50

such clear text before. The text at issue -- and I'm not going 10:50 1 2 to go through the whole statutory scheme because the Court has it in our papers and the defense walked through it, but in 3 1961(1)(d) it says, Any offense involving fraud in the sale of 4 securities punishable under any law of the United States. Full 10:50 5 6 stop. 7 Defense asks this Court to limit that clear text in two main ways by saying that it can't cover 1348 because 8 9 that's not in the long list of other crimes that does not 10 include a single securities fraud statute. 10:50 11 And also, the defendants suggest --12 THE COURT: Let me stop you there. You can see that's right, though? 13 MR. LIOLOS: Certainly, and we don't disagree with 14 that. 15 10:50 THE COURT: All right. Go ahead. 16 17 MR. LIOLOS: And, secondly, the defendant seeks to 18 limit the language to issuers, even though the term "issuers" appears nowhere in the relevant statutory scheme. 19 None of the other readings make sense, and when 20 10:50 21 you put them together, they make less sense. Again, the 22 language is any offense involving fraud in the sale of securities punishable under any law of the United States. 23 If you add the defendants' readings, it changes 24 25 to any offense involving fraud in the sale of securities by an 10:51

issuer, and no one else, not punishable under any law of the 10:51 1 United States, but under no law of the United States, because 2 none is listed in the statute here. 3 THE COURT: Wouldn't it be simpler to state fraud in 4 the sale of securities by the defendant? 5 10:51 6 MR. LIOLOS: It certainly would. If you look at --7 THE COURT: His argument is the defendant didn't sell any securities here. 8 9 MR. LIOLOS: He did engage in open market securities 10 transactions. 10:51 11 THE COURT: But he didn't sell anything. 12 MR. LIOLOS: Well, he sold securities that he held in 13 his brokerage account on the open market. 14 THE COURT: But he didn't sell it to anyone. 15 MR. LIOLOS: Well, there has to be --10:51 THE COURT: He bought some. I mean, he purchased some 16 17 and presumably there wasn't any -- at least as I read the indictment, there is no fraud in the purchase. 18 MR. LIOLOS: Not under the terms of the statute here. 19 20 But factually, what he did was engage in open market 10:52 21 security sales. There has to be two sides to the transaction. 22 Someone has to buy the security from him, whether that's a 23 broker or someone else. THE COURT: You just said "from him." No one bought 24 25 any securities from him. 10:52

1 MR. LIOLOS: The broker at least did, and there is a 10:52 2 victim on the other side of that that has to match up the trade. 3 Right? So if the Court looks to the Fifth Circuit's 4 opinion in the Laird case, which we cited, I think, on page 16 10:52 5 6 of our papers --7 THE COURT: Let's go back. 8 MR. LIOLOS: Certainly. 9 THE COURT: There's not a victim on the other side. 10 Is there? Tell me who it is. If I'm -- let's say we believe 10:52 11 everything you guys think you can prove. So, hypothetically, I bought a share of stock, and I have sent out all kinds of 12 tweets and pumped up the price and then I have sold it -- let's 13 14 say I borrowed at 10 and I sold it at 20. Whoever I sold it to at 20, they bought it at 20. They knew what the price was 15 10:53 16 going in. 17 Now, the other people, for instance, the people 18 that go to these websites or investment groups, they might have been fooled because they relied on the defendant for giving 19 straightforward advice. Are they victims of the sale? 20 10:53 21 MR. LIOLOS: Well, the victims necessarily bought it at 20, right, if they were induced by the defendants' 22 misrepresentations to purchase the stock, and there had to be 23 someone on the other side of this transaction. And this 24 happened --25 10:53

the reasons I wanted to have the hearing. But you have got an

THE COURT: They bought it at 20. They knew it was 20 10:53 1 going in. I mean, they bought it at the price --2 MR. LIOLOS: Certainly, Your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: The market price. MR. LIOLOS: The representations that are alleged in 10:54 5 6 the indictment, for example, call out stock tickers that are 7 well above the prices at which they were sold, so a lot of the victims here were likely induced to think that the stock would 8 9 go up to 10, for example, and were buying it at 2 in those 10 hopes. 10:54 11 THE COURT: The defendants' point is that -- let's assume all of that is true. That fraud doesn't have anything 12 13 to do with the sale. 14 MR. LIOLOS: Perhaps, but there also had to be someone on the other side of the transaction, and there are countless 15 10:54 transactions alleged here. Right? So the Court has to take 16 17 the allegations in the indictment as alleged on a motion to dismiss. And, you know, there were just unbelievable amounts 18 of these transactions happening. The sale of securities has to 19 match up with a buyer each and every time it happens, and there 20 10:54 was fraud --21 22 THE COURT: Aren't the real victims -- and part of this exercise is to make sure I know everything about this case 23 or at least -- so part of this is educating me. It's one of 24

25

10:55

alleged scheme where -- let's say the defendants bought at 10, and they started telling all their investment groups and people that follow them on the Internet, or whatever, that, man, this stock is going through the roof. And it goes up to 15 and they sell out. Okay. So they have cashed out of it, but they still tout the stock. It's going to 20. It's going to 20. Aren't the victims -- if -- the people who relied on those tweets to make their decisions --

MR. LIOLOS: There are more victims. Certainly then that could be matched up in a one-to-one transaction of the defendants every time.

What also is interesting about this case, and which is part of the scheme, is that a lot of the stocks that the defendants were engaging in were what they would look to -- and let's say in their private transactions -- low float stocks, so they had not a lot of shares that were trading, and they would look to lock up the float of these stocks, meaning buy as much of it as they possibly could before they went public. So they would control a high percentage of this, limiting who could buy. And then they would, by their misrepresentations and omissions, allegedly drive up the volume for these stocks, and then all of those people would clamor to buy the stocks that the defendants held a significant part of. So they must have sold to the volume that they were creating by the pump-and-dump scheme.

10:56

10:56

10:56 25

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1

10:57

10:57

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

10:57

17

10:58

10:58

24 25

It's inherent in the nature of the pump-and-dump scheme of a low float stock because they are purchasing up as much as they can to control as much of the shares that are available on the open market and, therefore, limiting the supply of the stock available. And then they are amping up the demand by promoting it.

And the defendants then constitute a significant portion of the people that any of those people who want to buy the stock can purchase it from. There has to be two sides to the transaction. So it is necessarily fraud in the sale of securities. It fits comfortably under the language of the statute.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LIOLOS: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: I don't think I have any other motions to dismiss, do I? I'm going to take the motions to dismiss under advisement. I'm denying without prejudice Mr. Williams's motion pending the government's disclosures.

I would like y'all, when I step off, to sit down and see if you can come up with a schedule while you are all here. And pretty much, if you come up with a schedule that you all can live with, I will live with it. But what I want to emphasize to everyone, it's a schedule that I want to be more or less set in stone. I know there are some defendants that don't -- or haven't indicated that they really care when they

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

4

10:58

10:59

13

14

10:59 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

11:00

23

24

11:00 25

go to trial, but there are other defendants that have said, I want to go to trial now.

And, as I said earlier, they are restricted in many ways, one of which, as I just said, I'm not letting them go out of the country. So I want to end those restrictions, assuming they are found not guilty, as soon as we possibly can. And so I'm asking y'all while you are all here to -- let's get a realistic schedule. I want to try this in October. when I have told y'all I'm going to try it. There may not be a specific week that I can give you right now, but I will give it to you far in advance, when we will start, because I know we have defendants from all over the United States, but we also, I'm assuming, are going to have witnesses from all over. And so I want to make sure I can give you a solid start date. I expect -- I want -- so when you get together, let's be realistic. It's one of the reasons why the government started talking about experts. I said, Yeah, but let's do it far enough in advance that, you know, the defendants have plenty of time to do what they need to do.

Right now we have final pretrial scheduled on the 6th of October and trial on the 23rd, and those are probably still good dates. The 6th may change, because I may actually be in trial in something else on the 6th, but I don't think I'm going to be. So I would like to stay with final pretrial on October 6th and trial on October 23rd.

Within that, I'm very flexible about whatever you 11:00 1 2 want to do, but let's be practical about it. Do a schedule that you can live with that's commensurate with the facts of 3 the case and the amount of documents and the number of the 4 defendants and maybe -- I don't know the extent of the actual 11:00 5 6 communication between the defendants, but, I mean, I think I 7 got a flavor of it from reading the indictment. But this doesn't seem like an overly complicated case, despite the 8 number of counts and despite the number of defendants. 9 10 I'm sure that -- and I know that the defendants 11:01 11 don't get a chance to give their side of the case in front of 12 the grand jury, so I'm sure there are other ways of viewing 13 some of these communications. 14 All right. So I'm going to step off, and I'm going to adjourn the hearing. Sit down with the government --15 11:01 I'm asking all the defense lawyers. 16 17 Hold on, Mr. Hilder, and then I will let you speak. But let's get a schedule that everybody can live with. 18 Go ahead. 19 MR. HILDER: Yes, Judge. I would like the Court just 20 11:01 21 to address -- the government submitted to the Court the victim post, essentially, and we submitted an alternative that I would 22 like the Court to consider --23 THE COURT: I don't know that I have seen your 24 25 alternative. 11:02

MR. HILDER: It's Exhibit A to our response that we 11:02 1 sent in in our objection. I can provide a copy to the Court. 2 If you have a copy, let me look at it. 3 THE COURT: 4 MR. HILDER: Yes, Judge. I don't know if my prior order made it 5 THE COURT: 11:02 6 clear, but I want these -- I know why the government feels like 7 they have to do this, but I want these to be neutral. 8 The one I saw that the government proposed had 9 the charges, but it didn't have the denial by the defendants of 10 that charge, and I thought that needed to be in there. 11:02 11 Mr. Armstrong, have you seen this? 12 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, off the top of my head --THE COURT: You might have and just didn't remember 13 Take a look at it. It doesn't change a whole lot of 14 15 things. 11:03 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I think one of the 16 principal points of contention that we have with the defense on 17 18 this is that they claim that the line that is directly above presumption of innocence is editorializing, but, in our view, 19 it is, respectfully, not. It is just taken from paragraph 1 of 20 11:04 the indictment, and it gives just the smallest meat to the 21 bones of what the case is about, using language that is alleged 22 in paragraph 1 of the superseding indictment. 23 So, in our view, that is not editorializing. 24 25 is just giving a public notice as to the most bare bones 11:04

allegation that support the charges. So I think that we can 11:04 1 2 probably live with the balance of the other changes, but we believe that our submission is in compliance with the Court's 3 order at this point. 4 THE COURT: I may play with that, and then I will send 5 11:04 6 it out to y'all before I approve it. 7 Thank you, Judge. MR. HILDER: 8 One other point: I would like that the 9 government know the order to produce to us on a rolling basis the responses they get. Obviously, we are going to need time 10 11:05 11 to follow up with these individuals if they are, in fact, true victims, and for the government to hold on to that information, 12 I think, would not be in our best interest, the defense's best 13 14 interest, if we are going to keep the schedule that the Court wants us to be on. 15 11:05 THE COURT: Help me here. What role do we think the 16 17 victims would play in the trial? Do we think any of them are 18 going to testify? MR. ARMSTRONG: I certainly expect that, Your Honor. 19 I think that they would prove up materiality and they would 20 11:05 prove up how they relied on the false information the 21 defendants were putting into the market. 22 23 THE COURT: Then I think Mr. Hilder's suggestion is a 24 good one then. 25 MR. HILDER: Thank you, Judge. 11:06

THE COURT: If they were just going to, you know, 11:06 1 2 impact sentencing, but if they are going to actually testify, I think the defendants need to know that. Let's rollingly 3 produce those. You don't have to produce them every day, but 4 every two weeks, or something, say, These are the victim impact 5 11:06 6 statements we have got. 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: No problem. 8 MS. CORDOVA: Your Honor, Mr. Hennessey has a pending 9 motion to modify the conditions of release to remove the GPS 10 monitor that was recently placed on him. If Your Honor can 11:06 11 take that up today --THE COURT: I can take that up today. I'm denying it. 12 13 It's easy. 14 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, I don't know if Your Honor will cover this today, but I think we also have the speedy 15 11:06 16 trial motion from Mr. Hennessey, as well. And we would 17 respectfully request that if Your Honor is going to deny that, that Your Honor does so in a way that conforms with the 18 requested order that we laid out in our motion. 19 20 THE COURT: In your response? 11:06 21 MR. ARMSTRONG: In our response. I'm sorry. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Mallett, is your client here? 23 MR. MALLETT: He is, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Why don't y'all come up? 25 MR. MALLETT: May I approach, Your Honor? 11:07

I am informed the marshals have escorted him 11:07 1 upstairs or downstairs. 2 THE COURT: We will get him back. Y'all don't leave. 3 I will step off, let y'all visit about a scheduling order and 4 in the meantime, we will have him brought. 5 11:08 6 All right. Thank you, Counsel. 7 (Court recessed at 11:08 AM) (Court resumed at 11:47 AM) 8 THE COURT: I have played with the post and Rhonda has 9 10 a copy for you. It includes some of the suggestions of 11:47 11 Mr. Hilder but not all of them. I will give y'all a chance to 12 read it. 13 Mr. Armstrong, does that get you where you need to be? 14 15 MR. ARMSTRONG: Your Honor, thank you for putting this 11:48 together. The only question I have is -- this does not appear 16 17 to have page 2, which we submitted as Exhibit 1. THE COURT: I don't think I have seen a page 2. 18 MR. ARMSTRONG: It just has more information about the 19 Crime Victims Right Act and the right to retain counsel and 20 11:48 then it has a little blurb about plea agreements and --21 22 THE COURT: Will you hand it to me? 23 MR. ARMSTRONG: May I approach? 24 It's a statement about something that our folks 25 put together. 11:49

THE COURT: Mr. Hilder, do you want to look at this? 11:49 1 2 It looks like that's just a form, and I'm all right with that. MR. HILDER: I don't have any objection to that, 3 As for the Court's suggestions, we are fine with that. 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: No objection, Your Honor. 5 11:49 6 THE COURT: All right. So you are free to do that. 7 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Judge. 8 THE COURT: All right. Secondly, while I have 9 everybody here, Mr. Ford, is it your client the government is 10 seeking to nab the cars? 11:50 11 MR. FORD: Yes, Your Honor. If I may for a moment. just received, as I was walking out the door here, a document 12 entitled "warrant to seize property subject to forfeiture." 13 14 It is my understanding at this time there's no 15 property that's subject to forfeiture. We have not had an 11:50 opportunity in the past two or three minutes to do any 16 17 substantive investigation of the law, but my understanding is 18 the Supreme Court last left off on this in 2016 in a case called Luis v the United States, 136 S Ct 1083, plurality of 19 opinion written by Justice Breyer with very substantial and 20 11:50 compelling concurrence written by Justice Thomas. 21 22 That case is crystal clear that under the Sixth Amendment, the government may not seize untainted assets prior 23 to conviction as it would be a violation of the defendant's 24 25 Sixth Amendment right and his right to use his assets for the 11:51

1 purpose of his legal defense.

11:51

11:51

11:52

11:52

11:52

11:52

I will -- from a factual perspective, the cars that are listed -- it looks like two of the three were purchased prior to any time that my client allegedly made money through the scheme that the government is alleging. I don't think they can show any connection, so I'm not sure how they were able to obtain this warrant. There is a vehicle that was purchased in 2022. That is a Rolls-Royce Dawn vehicle.

Again, I do not believe that that would be tied to any of the allegations or funds in the indictment, but, as I said, regardless, I do believe under United States v Luis, Justice Breyer's plurality opinion and Justice Thomas's very compelling concurrence, which I think has been leading the way in this area of law, that this forfeiture is improper and unconstitutional. And to add a gloss to it, there is at least one defendant I understand in this case who did, in fact, sell his vehicle for the purpose of obtaining and retaining counsel in this matter.

So thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Armstrong, do you want to weigh in?

MR. ARMSTRONG: Mr. Carter for the government.

THE COURT: Mr. Carter?

MR. CARTER: Your Honor signed warrants. The warrants were submitted by affidavit to a magistrate court judge last

11:52	1	week. They were signed by a magistrate court judge, as is
	2	standard in this district. It's very common in these
	3	particular cases. There is nothing special about it. These
	4	are warrants that are specifically for cars that were purchased
11:53	5	with funds that were illegally or fraudulently obtained through
	6	the scheme and course and conduct of this case.
	7	THE COURT: I'm going to stay these warrants.
	8	MR. CARTER: Would you like to review the affidavits
	9	as they stand, Your Honor?
11:53	10	THE COURT: No. I'm staying the warrants, but I'm
	11	ordering the defendant not to dispose of those cars.
	12	MR. CARTER: Fair enough. Thank you, Your Honor.
	13	THE COURT: Without a further court order.
	14	MR. FORD: For the record, as part of my client's
11:53	15	current bail conditions, he is not permitted to transfer any
	16	assets in excess of \$5,000, so I can assure you that that will
	17	not happen.
	18	We appreciate your ruling and are available for
	19	any additional briefing.
11:53	20	Thank you again, Your Honor.
	21	THE COURT: All right. When we are talking about
	22	scheduling, I made a misstatement. I said the pretrial was on
	23	October 6th. I think it's the 16th, with trial to be on
	24	the 23rd, so let me correct that.
11:54	25	Were y'all able to get together and talk about a

schedule? 11:54 1 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you for the 2 3 probing. I think that we agreed to produce our expert 4 reports -- and full disclosure -- we don't truly believe that 5 11:54 6 these are expert reports, but we are happy to disclose the 7 information on June 5th. THE COURT: June 5th? 8 9 MR. ARMSTRONG: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Is there a reciprocal discovery matter in 11:54 11 this? 12 MR. ARMSTRONG: We didn't confer about that, in total 13 candor, but we would, of course, love one. 14 THE COURT: I guess I will cross that bridge when I 15 get to it, but we'll leave it right now that the government is 11:54 going to disclose by June 5th, and the report should -- I know 16 17 we're in -- we're not in civil cases where reports have all 18 these requirements, but it should be a full report. I mean, it should be something that really sets out, here's what we think 19 or, here's what I, the expert, am going to testify was done 20 11:55 incorrectly or illegally. 21 22 MR. ARMSTRONG: So the expert -- that is why I was couching it as not quite expert testimony. The expert is not 23 going to proffer an opinion like that. The proposed experts, 24 25 using air quotes, that we have right now are twofold. One is 11:55

going to be calculating the profit and loss for the tickers, 11:55 1 which is basically just running the math. 2 THE COURT: He is going to close Mr. Williams's 3 \$100 million gap? 4 MR. ARMSTRONG: He is going to close the gap. He is 5 11:55 not going to be offering -- or she -- I don't even know who it 6 7 is yet -- is not going to be offering an opinion such as, these are fraud proceeds, or anything like that. 8 9 The other expert is going to be someone from 10 FINRA who's going to be talking about just the trading volume 11:56 11 on specific days and talk about how the volume went up, corresponding when the tweet activity occurred and will talk 12 about what happened to the price. So that's going to be kind 13 14 of the contours of the not quite expert testimony but what we are calling --15 11:56 I think they are experts if they are going 16 THE COURT: 17 to be experts in the market as opposed to liability experts. Understood. 18 MR. ARMSTRONG: THE COURT: Let's give the defendants a fair report. 19 MR. ARMSTRONG: We absolutely will, Your Honor. 20 11:56 THE COURT: By that, I mean full. Not a C report. 21 Give them an A report. 22 23 All right. Thank you, Mr. Armstrong. 24 MR. ARMSTRONG: Thank you, Judge. 25 THE COURT: Is there anything else we can take up as a 11:56

11:56

whole while we are here? I do have a matter to take up with Mr. Hrvatin. But if not, y'all are excused. Thank you, Judge. MR. HILDER: (Court adjourned at 11:56 a.m.) I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled cause. Date: March 18, 2023 1s/ Mayra Malone Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter

Case 4:22-cr-00612 Docum	16 [1] -30/5 16 [1] 42/25 iled on 04/15/24 in	9/17 12/11 15/1 15/19 15/24 17/1 18/13 19/11 29/21 21/4 23/14 25/8
MR. AKERS: [1] 6/22		
MR. ARMSTRONG: [31] 5/13 7/19 8/3	18 [3] 22/21 23/19 45/11	25/13 27/4 27/5 27/12 31/23 32/12
	1900 [1] 3/18	34/17 35/1 35/2 36/22 39/4 39/19
13/11 13/19 15/21 16/13 17/12 17/22	1956 [2] 22/16 23/22	39/21 39/24 42/3 42/21 42/25 43/12
20/16 21/16 36/12 36/16 37/19 38/7	1957 [3] 22/15 23/9 26/23	44/10 44/11 44/13
38/14 38/21 39/15 39/19 39/23 40/5	1961 [5] 22/18 22/20 23/12 23/20 28/4	above [3] 31/7 36/18 45/9
40/7 41/22 43/2 43/9 43/12 43/22 44/5		
44/18 44/20 44/24	1B [1] 22/20	above-entitled [1] 45/9
MR. CARTER: [3] 41/24 42/8 42/12	2	absolutely [4] 8/10 16/13 19/12 44/20
MR. FORD: [8] 5/17 5/21 22/10 23/6	-	academic [1] 24/19
25/15 26/16 40/11 42/14	20 [8] 30/14 30/15 30/15 30/22 31/1	accept [2] 5/21 26/4
	31/1 32/6 32/6	account [1] 29/13
MR. HILDER: [9] 6/3 8/23 35/20 36/1	200 [1] 2/15	Act [1] 39/20
36/4 37/7 37/25 40/3 45/4	20005 [1] 1/23	activities [3] 22/18 23/17 24/22
MR. LEWIS: [1] 6/15	2013 [1] 23/1	activity [8] 7/23 11/8 20/2 22/14 22/16
MR. LIOLOS: [16] 27/18 28/14 28/17	2016 [1] 40/18	22/19 23/9 44/12
29/6 29/9 29/12 29/15 29/19 30/1 30/8	2022 [1] 41/8	
30/21 31/3 31/5 31/14 32/9 33/14		actual [2] 24/22 35/5
MR. MALLETT: [3] 6/11 38/23 38/25	2023 [2] 1/6 45/11	actually [4] 13/12 26/9 34/22 38/2
MR. REYES: [1] 5/24	22-CR-612 [1] 5/9	add [4] 9/3 10/24 28/24 41/15
MR. WILLIAMS: [7] 9/1 10/19 11/20	225 [1] 2/24	added [1] 22/25
12/2 15/14 17/23 22/6	2300 [1] 1/17	adding [1] 13/23
	2323 [1] 3/21	additional [2] 9/11 42/19
MS. CORDOVA: [4] 6/18 18/2 18/4	23rd [3] 34/21 34/25 42/24	address [1] 35/21
38/8		adds [1] 9/4
THE COURT: [82]	3	adjourn [1] 35/15
¢	3401 [1] 4/5	
\$	3700 [1] 2/5	adjourned [1] 45/5
\$100 [6] 11/3 11/5 13/10 13/18 15/11	3700 [1] 2/5	adopt [1] 17/10
44/4	4	adopted [4] 15/17 23/1 25/3 25/4
\$100 million [5] 11/3 11/5 13/10 13/18		advance [2] 34/11 34/18
44/4	400 [1] 5/0	advice [1] 30/20
	 4306 [1] 3/7	advisement [1] 33/17
\$100-million [1] 15/11	4:22-CR-612 [1] 1/4	affidavit [1] 41/25
\$114 [6] 9/3 9/9 10/3 10/25 12/1 14/2		affidavits [1] 42/8
\$114 million [5] 9/3 10/3 10/25 12/1	5	after [4] 10/9 15/16 16/25 23/1
14/2	515 [1] 4/10	
\$5,000 [1] 42/16	5th [3] 43/7 43/8 43/16	again [5] 8/20 20/3 28/21 41/9 42/20
	301 [3] 43/1 43/3 43/10	against [2] 20/19 22/12
1	6	Agent [1] 5/15
/s [1] 45/12		ago [1] 13/16
	600 [1] 3/21	agree [2] 7/4 27/20
0	612 [2] 1/4 5/9	agreed [1] 43/4
	620 [1] 2/5	agreement [2] 26/4 26/5
02110 [1] 2/25	63 [2] 22/23 23/19	agreements [1] 39/21
1	6th [5] 34/21 34/22 34/23 34/25 42/23	
<u> </u>		agrees [1] 17/9
1,500 [1] 12/7	7	ahead [4] 8/25 20/15 28/16 35/19
10 [4] 21/4 30/14 31/9 32/1	75201 [1] 3/22	aided [1] 4/13
100 million [1] 9/5	77002 [3] 1/18 3/15 4/11	ain't [1] 10/24
1000 [1] 1/17		air [1] 43/25
1001 [1] 3/14	77006 [2] 2/21 3/8	Akers [3] 4/4 4/4 6/22
101 [1] 4/5	77008 [1] 2/16	al [2] 1/7 5/10
	77010 [1] 3/19	all [44] 6/2 6/5 6/17 7/14 7/22 9/8 9/10
1083 [1] 40/19	77019 [2] 3/12 4/6	
10:15 [1] 1/7	78701 [2] 2/10 2/13	9/19 10/2 10/4 10/5 11/6 12/7 13/6
10b-5 [1] 27/3	78738 [1] 2/6	13/7 14/11 14/21 14/23 15/25 16/4
1100 [1] 2/12		20/13 24/1 24/2 24/25 28/16 30/12
114 [3] 11/24 12/22 16/11	8	31/12 32/2 32/22 33/20 33/22 34/7
11:08 [1] 39/7	8004 [1] 4/10	34/12 34/13 35/14 35/16 39/6 39/11
11:47 [1] 39/8		40/2 40/6 40/8 42/21 43/17 44/23
11:56 [1] 45/5	803 [1] 3/14	allegation [5] 9/9 14/2 25/18 25/22
1207 [1] 3/11	819 [1] 2/21	37/1
	9	allegations [8] 14/14 16/21 18/24
1348 [12] 18/11 20/5 23/1 23/2 23/8		
24/2 24/8 24/14 25/1 26/13 27/3 28/8	90 [3] 21/3 22/21 22/22	20/24 21/1 27/9 31/17 41/10
136 [1] 40/19	919 [2] 2/9 2/12	allege [5] 18/6 19/11 20/3 20/3 21/18
14 [4] 1/6 9/4 11/24 16/11		alleged [12] 16/22 18/15 19/18 19/24
1400 [1] 1/23	A	19/25 20/5 25/17 31/5 31/16 31/17
1401 [1] 3/18	a.m [2] 1/7 45/5	32/1 36/22
15 [2] 21/1 32/4	abeyance [1] 15/15	allegedly [5] 19/19 19/20 25/23 32/21
1500 [1] 2/9		41/4
1500 [1] 2/3 1545 [1] 2/15	able [4] 18/22 25/2 41/7 42/25	alleges [1] 22/12
1070 [1] 2/10	about [40] 5/2 7/5 7/6 7/7 7/8 9/6 9/15	20300 [1]/ 12
Ī.		

assuming [3]_9/8 34/6 34/13 5/24 in assure [2] 27/13 42/16 broad [4] 23/17 23/23 23/25 24/17 broader [1] 29/1 47 01 56 ACase 4:22-cr-00612 Docum alleging [2] 21/14 41/5 attempting [1] 24/21 broker [2] 29/23 30/1 Allen [1] 4/5 Attorney [3] 1/16 2/14 3/11 **brokerage** [1] 29/13 along [1] 5/18 **Attorney's [1]** 17/15 brought [1] 39/5 already [3] 10/18 13/3 24/12 August [2] 8/13 15/5 Brownsville [1] 17/14 also [14] 1/20 5/21 5/25 7/25 8/3 8/15 Austin [3] 2/6 2/10 2/13 bucket [2] 12/8 12/11 17/4 17/20 22/5 28/11 31/14 32/12 available [3] 33/4 33/5 42/18 buckets [1] 12/6 34/12 38/15 bucks [1] 9/5 Ave [1] 2/12 alternative [2] 35/22 35/25 Avenue [3] 1/23 2/9 3/21 bulk [1] 7/22 although [2] 9/3 11/22 bunch [1] 12/8 avoid [1] 13/21 **ALZN [1]** 19/24 buy [7] 21/24 25/13 29/22 32/18 32/20 am [7] 7/8 15/8 16/10 39/1 39/7 39/8 32/23 33/8 43/20 back [6] 10/18 11/8 11/23 26/2 30/7 buyer [1] 31/20 ambushed [1] 9/11 39/3 buying [2] 26/10 31/9 amenable [1] 16/17 backing [1] 27/7 **Amendment [2]** 40/23 40/25 backwards [1] 23/10 **AMERICA [2]** 1/4 5/9 bail [1] 42/15 calculating [1] 44/1 amole [1] 9/12 balance [1] 37/2 calculations [1] 16/16 amount [2] 9/24 35/4 ball [1] 13/20 calendar [1] 10/2 amounts [3] 9/23 11/25 31/18 call [2] 5/11 31/6 bank [1] 22/24 **amping [1]** 33/5 bare [1] 36/25 called [2] 7/24 40/19 **ANDREW [1]** 1/10 baseball [1] 9/22 calling [1] 44/15 Another [1] 12/8 can [40] 7/1 10/9 11/7 11/7 11/13 based [1] 24/21 answer [2] 20/13 25/16 basically [4] 7/25 14/12 17/15 44/2 11/18 12/3 12/15 12/16 12/17 12/17 anticipation [1] 14/9 basis [5] 9/9 10/3 15/25 16/2 37/9 12/18 13/3 13/6 14/13 14/24 14/24 any [25] 6/8 19/25 19/25 23/23 27/13 because [22] 12/22 12/23 14/3 15/4 14/25 15/20 16/22 23/17 27/12 28/12 27/14 28/4 28/5 28/22 28/23 28/25 30/11 33/3 33/9 33/20 33/22 34/6 15/5 15/22 17/1 17/13 18/5 18/21 19/2 29/1 29/8 29/17 29/25 33/8 33/15 19/5 19/17 24/20 27/25 28/2 28/8 29/2 34/10 34/14 35/3 35/18 36/2 37/1 37/17 40/3 40/16 41/4 41/6 41/10 30/19 33/2 34/11 34/22 38/10 38/12 41/6 42/16 44/25 42/15 42/19 becomes [1] 8/5 can't [6] 10/6 10/14 11/22 11/24 14/15 anybody [4] 6/25 25/18 25/20 26/1 been [5] 6/24 10/7 20/11 30/19 41/13 28/8 anyone [2] 6/5 29/14 before [6] 1/10 11/23 14/6 28/1 32/18 candor [1] 43/13 anything [6] 15/9 17/18 29/11 31/12 cannot [2] 19/6 19/6 44/8 44/25 behalf [4] 6/19 6/22 18/3 27/19 capable [1] 14/23 anyway [1] 17/2 being [1] 25/17 Cara [2] 2/4 5/18 apparently [1] 18/21 believe [9] 12/20 18/17 20/12 22/6 care [4] 23/22 23/24 24/3 33/25 appear [1] 39/16 30/10 37/3 41/9 41/11 43/5 cars [4] 40/10 41/2 42/4 42/11 appearance [1] 3/4 benefit [1] 5/5 Carter [4] 1/16 5/15 41/22 41/23 **APPEARANCES [4]** 1/12 1/24 3/1 3/24 bent [1] 23/10 case [23] 5/3 5/6 6/1 7/15 7/21 8/8 **appeared [1]** 6/1 **BERG** [1] 3/7 8/10 11/9 15/6 16/15 20/21 25/2 30/5 appears [2] 23/11 28/19 31/23 32/12 35/4 35/8 35/11 36/22 best [2] 37/13 37/13 appreciate [1] 42/18 better [2] 5/22 18/21 40/18 40/22 41/16 42/6 approach [2] 38/25 39/23 cases [9] 17/14 24/24 25/7 26/2 26/17 between [2] 27/14 35/6 appropriate [1] 20/7 big [4] 12/10 12/12 13/1 16/11 27/2 27/4 42/3 43/17 **approve [1]** 37/6 bigger [1] 11/11 cashed [1] 32/5 are [122] bill [5] 5/25 7/6 18/13 19/5 20/8 category [1] 23/25 area [1] 41/14 **bit [3]** 14/3 19/10 19/17 cause [2] 9/13 45/9 areas [1] 24/7 blanket [1] 7/1 certain [5] 10/8 10/9 16/18 22/19 Aren't [2] 31/22 32/6 blurb [1] 39/21 23/21 arguing [1] 23/14 bona [3] 25/20 25/25 27/12 certainly [7] 21/11 28/14 29/6 30/8 argument [4] 11/17 25/6 27/24 29/7 bones [3] 16/14 36/22 36/25 31/3 32/9 37/19 **Armstrong [12]** 1/21 5/14 7/11 10/17 borrowed [1] 30/14 certify [1] 45/8 13/9 15/5 20/15 27/17 36/11 39/13 **Boston** [1] 2/25 challenged [1] 27/25 41/20 44/23 bottom [1] 9/18 **chambers** [1] 5/4 around [2] 15/12 15/22 **chance [4]** 17/8 24/13 35/11 39/11 bought [8] 29/16 29/24 30/12 30/15 artifice [2] 26/14 26/16 30/21 31/1 31/2 32/1 change [3] 14/15 34/22 36/14 **Artificial [1]** 26/15 changes [2] 28/24 37/2 Boulevard [3] 2/15 2/21 3/7 as [52] **bounds [1]** 24/15 changing [1] 13/23 **ASHCROFT [2]** 2/8 2/11 **Brady [1]** 17/18 Chapter [2] 22/23 23/19 asked [1] 11/3 Breyer [1] 40/20 Chapter 63 [1] 22/23 asking [6] 8/12 9/20 16/9 16/10 34/7 Brever's [1] 41/12 charge [2] 12/23 36/10 35/16 brick [1] 9/21 charged [3] 20/11 21/9 22/2 asks [1] 28/7 charges [4] 20/19 20/24 36/9 37/1 bricks [1] 9/20 assets [3] 40/23 40/25 42/16 bridge [1] 43/14 charts [1] 11/2 **Assistant [1]** 1/16 briefing [1] 42/19 **chat [1]** 7/25 ASSOCIATES [1] 2/20 bring [1] 11/2 chats [2] 7/24 8/4 assume [1] 31/12

Case 4:22-cr-00612 Docum	constitute [2] 27/8 33/7 Constitutes [1] 26/7 04/15/24 in	data [4] 9/24 10/2 10/5 12/17
Chip [2] 3/10 6/15		date [10] 8/17/9/25 90/1 10/8 10/9
chosen [1] 23/2	constitutional [1] 13/5	13/14 16/18 16/20 34/14 45/11
Circuit [2] 15/24 24/12	contention [1] 36/17	dates [1] 34/22
	context [1] 23/11	Dawn [1] 41/8
Circuit's [1] 30/4	continuances [1] 9/13	day [1] 38/4
cited [3] 8/17 24/25 30/5	CONTINUED [3] 2/1 3/1 4/1	days [1] 44/11
citing [1] 26/18	contours [2] 22/2 44/14	DC [1] 1/23
civil [1] 43/17		
claim [4] 11/25 17/18 21/7 36/18	contrary [1] 17/5	deadline [1] 15/16
claims [1] 27/23	control [2] 32/19 33/3	dealing [2] 23/13 26/18
clamor [1] 32/22	conversation [2] 15/4 18/13	decent [1] 12/19
classes [1] 23/17	conviction [1] 40/24	decided [1] 12/23
	COOPERMAN [3] 3/9 6/14 6/16	decisions [1] 32/8
clause [1] 23/11	copy [3] 36/2 36/3 39/10	Deeb [1] 24/12
clear [9] 14/10 15/24 23/7 23/16 26/25	Cordova [3] 3/17 6/18 17/25	DEEL [2] 3/3 6/6
28/1 28/7 36/6 40/22	Cordt [2] 4/4 6/22	defendant [20] 2/3 2/7 2/18 3/3 3/5 3/9
clearly [1] 27/7	core [6] 18/6 18/10 18/11 19/1 19/7	3/16 4/3 17/17 20/19 20/24 21/11
client [11] 14/10 15/1 22/4 25/18	20/10	21/19 27/23 28/17 29/5 29/7 30/19
25/19 26/4 26/8 27/14 38/22 40/9 41/4		
client's [2] 12/7 42/14	corner [1] 15/22	41/16 42/11
clients' [1] 18/19	correct [2] 42/24 45/8	defendant's [1] 40/24
close [8] 9/11 13/18 15/14 16/12 25/17	corresponding [1] 44/12	defendants [32] 7/9 8/6 8/19 9/17
	couching [1] 43/23	10/14 14/11 14/18 15/6 16/5 16/22
27/24 44/3 44/5	could [8] 5/4 12/9 23/2 23/23 24/18	17/20 19/14 19/15 19/20 28/11 32/1
clue [1] 12/1	32/10 32/18 32/20	32/11 32/14 32/23 33/7 33/24 34/1
colleague [2] 5/18 8/24	counsel [6] 5/2 5/14 13/13 39/6 39/20	34/12 34/18 35/5 35/6 35/9 35/10 36/9
column [1] 12/9	41/17	37/22 38/3 44/19
column-separated [1] 12/9	count [11] 11/10 11/21 11/21 11/23	
comb [1] 25/1		defendants' [5] 7/23 16/6 28/24 30/22
come [9] 7/2 8/13 8/13 11/25 17/9	13/15 14/2 19/17 19/23 19/25 22/11	31/11
17/15 33/20 33/21 38/24	22/12	defense [10] 8/21 13/12 14/21 14/22
comfortably [1] 33/11	counterfeit [1] 26/24	27/20 28/3 28/7 35/16 36/17 41/1
	countless [1] 31/15	defense's [1] 37/13
coming [1] 15/22	country [2] 6/25 34/5	defies [2] 21/6 22/2
commensurate [1] 35/3	counts [14] 10/21 10/24 11/18 12/4	definite [1] 10/7
commit [1] 25/13	18/5 18/14 19/4 19/9 19/9 19/11 19/16	definition [1] 22/16
commitment [1] 9/10		
committed [1] 19/22	20/11 21/9 35/9	defraud [1] 26/14
common [1] 42/2	course [3] 16/21 42/6 43/13	delays [1] 9/13
communicated [1] 9/9	court [22] 1/1 4/8 11/18 15/17 20/17	delta [1] 9/4
communication [1] 35/6	28/2 28/7 30/4 31/16 35/20 35/21	demand [1] 33/6
	35/23 36/2 37/14 39/7 39/8 40/18	denial [1] 36/9
communications [1] 35/13	41/25 42/1 42/13 45/5 45/14	deny [2] 11/4 38/17
companies [1] 25/10	Court's [2] 37/3 40/4	denying [3] 6/24 33/17 38/12
compelling [3] 15/9 40/21 41/13	Courthouse [1] 4/10	DEPARTMENT [1] 1/22
complaints [1] 7/12		
compliance [1] 37/3	courtroom [1] 12/10	derived [1] 22/13
complicated [1] 35/8	courts [3] 18/9 25/16 26/7	described [1] 24/13
comport [1] 13/5	cover [3] 12/13 28/8 38/15	despite [2] 35/8 35/9
computer [1] 4/13	covered [1] 23/9	detail [1] 21/4
	Covers [1] 7/1	did [8] 12/18 15/2 23/18 24/5 29/9
computer-aided [1] 4/13	CR [2] 1/4 5/9	29/20 30/1 41/16
concept [1] 27/1	creating [1] 32/24	didn't [9] 15/2 17/17 27/14 29/7 29/11
concerned [2] 5/2 7/5	credit [1] 12/21	29/14 36/9 36/13 43/12
concerning [1] 10/16	Crime [1] 39/20	difference [1] 19/11
concerns [1] 13/21		
concurrence [2] 40/21 41/13	crimes [1] 28/9	different [9] 9/17 9/17 12/6 14/16
conditions [2] 38/9 42/15	criminality [6] 18/7 18/10 18/11 19/1	15/18 19/10 19/17 22/21 23/16
conduct [3] 22/2 23/23 42/6	19/7 20/10	Dillon [1] 5/15
confer [1] 43/12	critical [2] 8/11 8/20	direct [2] 25/19 27/13
	cross [1] 43/14	directly [4] 26/10 26/19 26/20 36/18
confined [1] 11/9	CRR [2] 4/9 45/13	disagree [2] 26/1 28/14
conforms [1] 38/18	crystal [1] 40/22	disclose [2] 43/6 43/16
Congress [2] 2/9 2/12	CSR [2] 4/9 45/13	disclose [2] 43/5
connection [7] 24/9 24/10 24/17 24/17		
26/14 26/16 41/6	Ct [1] 40/19	disclosures [1] 33/18
consider [2] 7/3 35/23	cured [1] 19/5	Discord [5] 7/24 8/4 18/20 20/1 21/23
considered [1] 23/24	current [1] 42/15	discovery [7] 7/21 9/16 10/7 10/10
conspiracy [4] 10/21 11/21 11/25	D	12/5 20/8 43/10
13/14	<u>D</u>	dismiss [8] 17/24 18/5 19/8 22/5
	Dallas [1] 3/22	22/11 31/18 33/16 33/16
Constantin [1] 5/21	damaged [1] 25/14	dismissed [1] 20/12
CONSTANTINESCU [7] 1/6 2/3 5/10	DANIEL [2] 4/3 6/22	dispose [1] 42/11
5/16 5/17 22/7 22/12		

engaging [3] 22/13 26/21 32/14 enough [5] 13/2 17/7 19/134/18/42/12 Fifth [2] 15/23/30/4 01 56 D_{Case 4:22-cr-00612} Docum dispute [2] 13/12 20/21 entire [1] 10/2 fights [1] 6/9 disseminated [1] 21/23 entitled [2] 40/13 45/9 figure [2] 14/24 15/1 district [5] 1/1 1/1 1/11 25/4 42/2 Eric [2] 2/23 6/4 figures [1] 8/17 **DIVISION [1]** 1/2 file [1] 17/16 escorted [1] 39/1 do [41] 6/5 8/14 8/22 11/4 11/18 11/22 essentially [4] 10/4 20/9 21/1 35/22 filed [2] 6/24 7/6 11/24 12/3 12/3 13/3 13/6 14/5 14/5 et [2] 1/7 5/10 Filippelli [2] 2/4 5/18 14/7 14/21 14/22 15/2 15/19 15/23 ether [1] 13/1 final [3] 26/22 34/20 34/24 16/7 17/20 17/24 24/2 26/11 31/13 evaluate [2] 10/6 10/9 find [3] 13/2 18/20 25/2 33/16 34/17 34/19 34/19 35/2 35/2 even [9] 9/8 10/6 11/23 16/25 19/24 fine [2] 25/1 40/4 36/7 37/16 37/17 40/1 40/6 40/16 41/9 21/2 25/17 28/18 44/6 fine-toothed [1] 25/1 41/11 41/20 45/2 every [8] 8/10 9/21 25/20 27/4 31/20 **FINRA [1]** 44/10 document [1] 40/12 firm [4] 2/8 3/13 4/4 9/10 32/11 38/4 38/5 documents [1] 35/4 everybody [6] 7/1 14/18 17/9 17/16 first [5] 6/23 9/2 12/5 12/19 17/25 does [10] 15/23 18/9 20/18 20/18 35/18 40/9 fish [1] 10/5 20/19 21/13 28/9 38/18 39/13 39/16 fits [1] 33/11 everyone [1] 33/23 doesn't [6] 7/18 14/21 15/13 31/12 everything [9] 7/9 9/3 12/3 14/12 flavor [1] 35/7 35/8 36/14 14/18 16/10 27/11 30/11 31/23 flexible [1] 35/1 dog [1] 6/8 evidence [4] 11/2 18/16 18/18 18/21 float [3] 32/15 32/17 33/2 doing [6] 7/3 7/13 14/9 16/6 17/3 evidentiary [3] 15/25 16/5 21/16 Floor [1] 2/24 26/25 exactly [3] 13/19 21/2 21/2 Florida [1] 25/4 don't [32] 6/8 7/11 7/12 7/13 8/12 focus [1] 11/17 example [4] 16/3 26/3 31/6 31/9 10/17 13/11 15/4 17/20 18/24 20/7 examples [1] 21/4 focused [1] 10/20 22/1 22/5 25/25 27/9 28/14 33/15 folks [1] 39/24 Excel [1] 12/9 33/25 34/23 35/5 35/11 35/24 36/5 follow [4] 15/10 18/12 32/3 37/11 excess [1] 42/16 38/4 38/14 38/24 39/3 39/18 40/3 41/5 followers [1] 8/7 excluded [2] 23/5 23/6 43/5 44/6 exclusive [1] 22/21 fooled [1] 30/19 done [8] 13/3 13/6 14/19 19/3 21/18 excused [1] 45/3 Ford [5] 2/4 2/5 5/17 22/9 40/9 23/22 24/18 43/20 exercise [2] 24/19 31/23 foregoing [1] 45/8 door [1] 40/12 Exhibit [2] 36/1 39/17 foreign [1] 22/25 double [1] 20/20 Exhibit 1 [1] 39/17 forfeiture [3] 40/13 40/15 41/14 down [4] 16/25 17/15 33/19 35/15 expect [7] 14/11 14/18 15/10 16/14 forged [1] 26/24 downside [2] 14/17 14/17 17/20 34/15 37/19 form [2] 25/10 40/2 downstairs [1] 39/2 expert [13] 16/15 16/16 16/18 17/1 formulas [1] 23/16 drive [2] 3/11 32/21 17/5 43/4 43/6 43/20 43/22 43/23 formulation [1] 24/7 due [2] 12/21 16/18 43/23 44/9 44/14 forthcoming [2] 8/9 17/19 dump [4] 21/8 21/21 32/25 33/1 experts [7] 9/14 17/7 34/17 43/24 forward [1] 15/7 during [1] 10/15 44/16 44/17 44/17 found [4] 9/6 18/9 25/16 34/6 explains [1] 19/21 four [2] 22/22 23/18 explanation [1] 14/16 fourteen [1] 10/25 each [6] 12/14 14/3 15/25 16/4 27/7 explanations [1] 15/11 frame [1] 20/3 31/20 extent [2] 15/18 35/5 Franklin [1] 2/24 earlier [1] 34/3 frankly [1] 7/5 early [2] 7/22 17/7 fraud [37] 10/21 18/7 18/8 19/22 20/6 easy [2] 15/21 38/13 fact [3] 18/17 37/11 41/16 22/24 22/24 22/24 22/24 23/12 23/16 Ed [1] 6/11 facts [7] 11/22 14/15 20/23 21/19 23/19 23/22 23/24 24/2 24/5 24/22 editorializing [2] 36/19 36/24 25/17 26/11 35/3 25/5 25/13 25/24 26/8 26/21 26/23 educating [1] 31/24 factual [1] 41/2 26/24 27/1 27/8 27/21 28/4 28/10 EDWARD [4] 1/6 2/3 3/6 5/9 factually [1] 29/20 28/22 28/25 29/4 29/18 31/12 31/21 **effective [1]** 8/21 fail [1] 18/5 33/10 44/8 **efforts [1]** 8/11 fair [7] 18/24 21/6 21/7 21/10 22/2 fraudulent [3] 10/8 12/24 26/7 eight [7] 14/6 18/5 19/9 19/11 19/16 42/12 44/19 fraudulently [1] 42/5 20/11 21/9 fairly [3] 20/18 20/24 21/11 free [1] 40/6 eight months [1] 14/6 false [20] 13/4 16/4 18/6 18/8 18/10 **FREEDMAN** [1] 2/23 **Eighteen [1]** 22/15 18/10 18/15 18/17 18/18 18/20 18/25 **FRIEDLAND** [1] 2/23 elements [3] 18/9 20/10 20/18 19/14 19/15 19/19 19/23 21/14 21/14 front [2] 15/3 35/11 Eleven [7] 18/5 19/9 19/17 19/23 21/22 21/23 37/21 fulfill [1] 20/8 19/25 20/11 21/10 falsity [1] 19/3 full [4] 28/5 43/5 43/18 44/21 else [5] 12/3 29/1 29/23 34/23 44/25 far [3] 17/6 34/11 34/17 fund [4] 25/11 26/3 26/6 26/9 **elsewhere [1]** 12/21 fascinating [2] 24/24 25/15 fundamental [1] 9/7 **emails [1]** 10/15 fashion [1] 7/21 funds [3] 27/5 41/10 42/5 **emphasize** [1] 33/23 federal [1] 23/24 further [2] 9/13 42/13 encompass [1] 23/17 feed [1] 13/14 encompassed [1] 24/4 feeds [2] 12/7 14/1 end [5] 13/15 13/21 13/24 15/3 34/5 feels [1] 36/6 gap [8] 9/4 13/10 13/18 15/11 16/12 engage [2] 29/9 29/20

few [1] 13/6

engaged [1] 25/19

16/12 44/4 44/5

included [4], 22/18 22/22 23/3 23/19 includes [10] 4/22 7/23 7/24 7/25 8/3 Docum 69/231 d 0/18 10/19 12/16 19/22 19/24 19/25 20/5 27/23 29/9 29/41 29/42 III **G**Case 4:22-cr-00612 **GARY [1]** 3/3 22/23 23/12 25/5 27/2 39/10 29/12 29/14 29/16 29/16 29/20 38/23 gave [1] 12/5 42/15 44/3 44/5 44/5 incorrectly [1] 43/21 general [3] 8/17 19/13 21/1 head [1] 36/12 increased [1] 25/23 generally [1] 25/10 health [4] 23/22 23/24 24/3 24/4 incredibly [2] 22/17 23/23 get [22] 6/23 7/9 9/11 11/1 11/6 11/8 heard [1] 9/15 indicated [1] 33/25 11/23 11/24 15/5 15/6 15/11 17/4 27/1 hearing [5] 1/10 5/5 16/25 31/25 35/15 indictment [27] 8/18 9/1 9/4 10/12 34/7 34/15 35/11 35/18 37/10 39/3 heels [1] 21/24 10/13 11/11 12/4 14/13 16/22 19/18 39/13 42/25 43/15 19/21 20/17 20/25 21/7 21/10 21/13 Heights [1] 2/15 gets [1] 5/3 held [2] 29/12 32/23 22/1 22/3 22/12 27/10 29/18 31/6 girlfriend [1] 6/20 31/17 35/7 36/21 36/23 41/10 help [4] 6/10 10/11 15/13 37/16 give [10] 8/16 11/22 12/21 34/10 34/10 individual [5] 12/11 12/14 16/1 26/10 helpful [2] 9/22 10/6 34/14 35/11 39/11 44/19 44/22 **HENNESSEY [11]** 3/16 6/17 6/19 18/3 26/20 given [4] 9/16 10/4 10/5 10/18 19/20 19/22 20/2 20/4 20/10 38/8 individuals [1] 37/11 gives [1] 36/21 38/16 induce [1] 21/23 giving [4] 13/20 27/6 30/19 36/25 here [32] 5/8 5/11 5/12 5/18 5/23 5/25 induced [2] 30/22 31/8 gloss [1] 41/15 6/5 6/10 6/15 6/19 9/25 10/12 13/10 inform [3] 20/18 20/24 21/19 go [23] 5/6 8/25 9/19 9/21 9/25 10/5 information [15] 7/10 8/8 8/19 8/20 13/20 13/23 16/4 18/1 18/14 25/6 27/9 11/7 13/2 17/7 18/9 19/1 20/15 24/24 29/3 29/8 29/19 31/8 31/16 33/21 34/7 14/4 14/23 15/3 15/13 16/19 21/22 26/2 28/2 28/16 30/7 30/18 31/9 34/1 37/16 38/22 40/9 40/12 45/1 21/23 37/12 37/21 39/19 43/7 34/2 34/5 35/19 here's [3] 14/7 43/19 43/20 informed [1] 39/1 goes [5] 8/17 16/5 18/6 21/16 32/4 Heyward [2] 1/16 5/15 informs [1] 21/11 going [69] inherent [1] 33/1 hide [1] 13/20 good [9] 5/13 5/24 6/11 6/18 7/19 high [1] 32/19 inherited [1] 12/20 22/10 27/18 34/22 37/24 Hilder [11] 2/19 2/20 6/3 7/6 7/12 7/17 initial [2] 12/4 12/4 got [8] 9/19 9/24 10/2 15/2 15/13 8/22 22/4 35/17 39/11 40/1 innocence [1] 36/19 31/25 35/7 38/6 Hilder's [2] 8/15 37/23 instance [1] 30/17 government [29] 1/15 1/20 5/12 14/11 him [9] 5/19 20/2 29/22 29/24 29/25 instances [1] 27/7 14/16 14/17 14/20 17/17 18/15 18/22 38/10 39/1 39/3 39/5 Instead [1] 24/5 19/4 19/5 19/18 20/14 23/10 24/25 intended [2] 23/8 24/1 hired [1] 14/22 27/10 34/16 35/15 35/21 36/6 36/8 his [10] 6/20 6/20 7/12 12/11 29/7 intent [1] 23/8 37/9 37/12 40/9 40/23 41/5 41/22 29/13 40/25 40/25 41/1 41/17 interest [3] 26/9 37/13 37/14 43/15 hold [3] 15/15 35/17 37/12 interesting [2] 26/12 32/12 government cited [1] 24/25 Honor [38] 5/13 5/24 6/11 6/18 11/20 Interestingly [1] 22/25 government's [2] 24/14 33/18 12/12 13/11 15/21 16/13 17/23 18/2 Internet [1] 32/3 **GPS [1]** 38/9 18/4 22/6 22/10 27/20 31/3 36/12 interpretation [1] 24/14 grand [4] 9/5 19/7 20/9 35/12 36/16 37/19 38/8 38/10 38/14 38/14 investigate [1] 9/14 great [4] 7/20 8/10 13/1 13/7 38/17 38/18 38/23 38/25 39/15 40/5 investigation [1] 40/17 grew [1] 17/13 40/11 41/19 41/24 42/9 42/12 42/20 investment [2] 30/18 32/2 group [1] 19/13 43/2 43/9 44/20 investors [1] 26/20 groups [2] 30/18 32/2 **HONORABLE [1]** 1/10 involves [1] 21/21 guess [2] 5/11 43/14 hopes [1] 31/10 involving [3] 28/4 28/22 28/25 guilty [1] 34/6 HOUSTON [11] 1/2 1/5 1/18 2/16 2/21 isn't [1] 13/2 guys [2] 14/22 30/11 3/8 3/12 3/15 3/19 4/6 4/11 issue [3] 15/22 20/22 28/1 how [8] 7/16 9/17 19/22 21/3 23/25 issued [1] 25/9 37/21 41/6 44/11 issuer [1] 29/1 had [11] 8/2 8/11 13/22 17/17 18/4 HRVATIN [5] 3/5 6/10 6/12 6/13 45/2 issuers [2] 28/18 28/18 24/12 30/23 31/14 32/16 36/8 40/15 it's [30] 5/7 5/17 9/16 9/18 11/11 12/10 hurt [1] 17/17 hand [1] 39/22 12/12 12/22 14/10 15/21 17/13 18/8 hypothetically [1] 30/11 handful [1] 13/3 18/14 19/1 20/16 23/6 24/24 25/15 **HANEN [1]** 1/10 27/21 31/24 32/6 32/6 33/1 33/23 happen [2] 27/15 42/17 I'm [47] 34/16 36/1 38/13 39/24 42/2 42/23 happened [2] 30/25 44/13 iceberg [2] 10/20 11/12 itself [3] 23/15 25/25 27/22 happening [1] 31/19 identified [5] 10/23 12/14 12/19 18/16 happens [2] 8/10 31/20 happy [1] 43/6 identify [3] 18/22 19/7 20/9 **JACKSON [2]** 3/17 3/20 has [30] 6/24 10/18 11/18 14/16 15/17 identifying [2] 13/7 19/14 James [1] 3/20 16/2 16/18 18/15 19/18 19/25 20/10 illegally [2] 42/5 43/21 Janine [1] 2/4 21/1 22/5 22/20 23/10 23/22 27/25 illustrate [1] 12/2 **January [2]** 7/22 8/11 28/2 29/15 29/21 29/22 30/2 31/16 impact [2] 38/2 38/5 jeopardy [1] 20/20 31/19 33/9 38/8 39/9 39/19 39/21 important [4] 7/2 17/11 18/12 18/14 iob [1] 12/19 41/13 impose [1] 17/10 John [4] 1/21 2/18 5/15 27/18 has 15 [1] 21/1 imposed [1] 15/16 **Johnny [2]** 2/11 5/25 hasn't [1] 10/19 improper [1] 41/14 joined [2] 5/14 6/3 have [95] judge [25] 1/11 7/4 7/19 8/23 9/1 include [7] 21/13 23/2 23/23 23/25 haven't [5] 9/6 9/15 11/3 11/5 33/25 10/19 15/12 15/14 17/12 17/14 17/22 24/1 27/3 28/10 having [1] 14/20

let's [15], 13/9-15/7, 30/7, 30/10-30/13 31/11-32/1 32/15-34/7-34/15-34/17 mass [2] 8/11 8/20 Massachusetts [1] 2/25 56 JCase 4:22-cr-00612 Docum judge... [14] 20/16 25/3 27/18 33/14 35/2 35/18 38/3 44/19 match [4] 8/4 10/14 30/2 31/20 35/20 36/4 37/7 37/25 40/4 40/7 41/25 letting [1] 34/4 matched [1] 32/10 42/1 44/24 45/4 Lewis [2] 3/10 6/15 materiality [1] 37/20 June [4] 17/7 43/7 43/8 43/16 math [1] 44/2 liability [1] 44/17 June 5th [3] 43/7 43/8 43/16 MATLOCK [3] 2/7 5/23 5/25 lie [1] 25/12 juries [1] 9/5 like [15] 9/20 10/25 14/13 33/19 34/24 matter [4] 15/15 41/18 43/10 45/2 jury [2] 19/7 35/12 35/8 35/20 35/23 36/6 37/8 40/2 41/3 Matthew [2] 2/4 5/17 jury's [1] 20/9 may [12] 9/17 11/16 13/11 17/7 34/9 42/8 43/24 44/8 just [35] 5/5 5/8 6/1 7/2 7/25 10/12 likely [1] 31/8 34/22 34/22 37/5 38/25 39/23 40/11 11/16 12/22 13/1 13/7 13/22 14/10 limit [2] 28/7 28/18 14/13 16/3 16/14 16/20 17/10 18/9 maybe [5] 13/15 14/15 16/25 17/13 limited [1] 10/3 19/12 27/9 27/14 29/24 31/18 34/4 limiting [2] 32/20 33/4 35/5 35/20 36/13 36/20 36/21 36/25 38/1 limits [1] 26/17 Mayra [3] 4/9 45/12 45/13 39/19 40/2 40/12 44/2 44/10 line [2] 9/18 36/18 McKinney [2] 3/14 3/18 **JUSTICE [5]** 1/22 40/20 40/21 41/12 Liolos [3] 1/21 5/15 27/18 me [17] 6/10 6/23 7/16 7/17 9/20 10/11 41/12 list [16] 8/16 10/8 10/13 10/13 13/13 10/12 11/16 13/1 25/15 28/12 30/10 13/21 13/23 13/24 13/25 14/1 22/17 31/24 36/3 37/16 39/22 42/24 mean [20] 5/4 7/1 7/13 7/14 14/12 22/17 22/21 23/3 23/5 28/9 Keane [1] 2/11 listed [4] 22/21 26/23 29/3 41/3 14/13 14/14 14/20 14/21 14/23 16/10 keep [1] 37/14 16/11 17/2 17/13 21/14 29/16 31/2 lists [1] 22/19 kind [3] 7/12 21/6 44/13 little [4] 14/3 19/10 19/17 39/21 35/6 43/18 44/21 kinds [1] 30/12 live [5] 33/22 33/22 35/3 35/18 37/2 meaning [1] 32/17 knew [2] 30/15 31/1 lives [1] 15/7 meantime [1] 39/5 **KNIGHT [3]** 4/3 6/21 6/22 **LLC [1]** 2/11 meat [2] 16/14 36/21 know [34] 7/14 11/5 12/25 14/14 14/22 LLP [4] 2/23 3/7 3/17 3/20 mechanical [1] 4/13 14/24 14/25 16/11 17/6 17/6 17/14 media [8] 7/23 9/19 9/24 10/5 11/8 lock [1] 32/17 17/16 18/21 18/25 21/6 22/2 23/25 12/6 12/18 13/2 long [3] 22/17 23/12 28/9 24/14 31/18 31/23 33/24 34/11 34/18 look [20] 8/2 9/19 9/21 9/25 11/18 meet [1] 15/16 35/5 35/10 35/24 36/5 36/6 37/9 38/1 13/17 17/4 17/15 19/2 19/2 24/7 24/13 memorandum [1] 25/11 38/3 38/14 43/16 44/6 25/7 26/13 29/6 32/14 32/17 36/3 mentioned [1] 23/18 knowing [1] 14/18 36/14 40/1 message [1] 20/1 knows [2] 20/17 24/16 looked [2] 15/13 27/3 messages [1] 18/20 looking [1] 18/20 Michael [2] 3/20 6/19 might [3] 7/3 30/18 36/13 looks [3] 30/4 40/2 41/3 labor [1] 22/25 million [13] 9/3 9/5 9/9 10/3 10/25 loss [1] 44/1 laid [2] 21/25 38/19 lot [7] 11/11 11/22 13/12 31/7 32/13 11/3 11/5 12/1 13/10 13/18 14/2 15/11 Laird [1] 30/5 32/16 36/14 44/4 landscape [1] 13/22 Louisiana [1] 1/17 Minute [1] 9/20 **LANDY [1]** 2/5 love [1] 43/13 minutes [1] 40/16 language [11] 23/4 23/7 23/13 23/15 Lovett [1] 2/21 misrepresentations [3] 12/25 30/23 23/23 26/13 27/21 28/18 28/22 33/11 low [2] 32/15 33/2 32/21 36/22 luck [1] 5/22 misstatement [1] 42/22 larger [1] 10/22 Luis [4] 2/8 5/24 40/19 41/11 misusing [1] 26/10 last [3] 22/25 40/18 41/25 **MITCHELL [1]** 3/16 later [1] 23/12 Μ **MK [1]** 3/17 latest [1] 10/12 made [8] 8/10 12/24 19/14 19/20 21/14 modify [1] 38/9 laundering [1] 24/20 36/5 41/4 42/22 moment [1] 40/11 **Laura [2]** 3/17 6/18 magistrate [2] 41/25 42/1 monetary [1] 22/13 law [12] 2/8 2/14 3/11 3/13 7/15 15/23 Maid [1] 9/20 money [3] 13/10 24/20 41/4 28/5 28/23 29/1 29/2 40/17 41/14 mail [2] 22/23 25/5 monitor [1] 38/10 laws [1] 24/8 main [2] 11/17 28/8 month [5] 8/15 13/15 13/21 13/24 laws and [1] 24/8 mainly [1] 24/22 19/12 lawyers [2] 14/23 35/16 maintained [1] 13/25 months [3] 9/2 9/6 14/6 lawyers' [1] 14/21 make [9] 5/6 8/11 11/16 14/10 28/20 more [7] 8/9 10/25 11/7 12/22 32/9 leading [1] 41/13 28/21 31/23 32/8 34/14 33/23 39/19 least [5] 12/6 29/17 30/1 31/24 41/15 making [3] 5/2 16/3 25/6 leave [3] 6/25 39/3 43/15 morning [7] 5/13 5/24 6/11 6/18 7/19 Mallett [5] 3/6 3/7 6/10 6/12 38/22 22/10 27/18 left [1] 40/18 Malone [3] 4/9 45/12 45/13 most [1] 36/25 legal [3] 7/14 16/2 41/1 man [1] 32/3 mother [1] 6/20 legislature [8] 22/20 23/2 23/18 23/22 motion [12] 1/10 6/24 7/6 8/15 14/8 many [1] 34/4 24/1 24/16 24/20 26/25 MARCH [4] 1/6 16/1 16/19 45/11 15/9 22/5 31/17 33/18 38/9 38/16 lengths [1] 7/20 market [8] 25/21 29/9 29/13 29/20 38/19 less [2] 28/21 33/24 31/4 33/4 37/22 44/17 motions [4] 5/4 17/24 33/15 33/16 let [13] 6/23 6/25 7/17 8/23 10/11 marketplace [1] 27/12 **mount [1]** 8/20 11/16 17/8 25/15 28/12 35/17 36/3

marshals [1] 39/1

39/4 42/24

move [2] 8/12 15/7

Docum 34/16 34/20 43/16 43/25 04/1 3/24 in 738/19 40/12 43/19 outrageous [1] 7/22 of 56 Mcase 4:22-cr-00612 moved [2] 18/4 19/8 nowhere [2] 19/21 28/19 outside [1] 24/14 moving [1] 22/11 number [3] 35/4 35/9 35/9 over [5] 9/6 22/21 23/10 34/12 34/13 Mr [6] 6/2 6/19 8/15 8/25 40/1 41/23 overly [1] 35/8 Mr. [52] overrule [1] 14/8 Mr. Armstrong [10] 7/11 10/17 13/9 O'BRIEN [1] 2/5 overruling [1] 15/8 15/5 20/15 27/17 36/11 39/13 41/20 objection [3] 36/2 40/3 40/5 own [3] 10/14 10/15 10/15 44/23 obtain [1] 41/7 Mr. Carter [1] 41/22 obtained [1] 42/5 Mr. Constantinescu [3] 5/16 22/7 obtaining [1] 41/17 page [4] 12/7 30/5 39/17 39/18 22/12 obvious [1] 8/5 Palermo [1] 7/4 Mr. Cooperman [2] 6/14 6/16 **Obviously [1]** 37/10 papers [2] 28/3 30/6 Mr. Deel [1] 6/6 paragraph [6] 8/18 21/2 21/20 22/1 occurred [1] 44/12 Mr. Ford [2] 22/9 40/9 October [5] 34/8 34/21 34/25 34/25 36/20 36/23 Mr. Hennessey [9] 6/17 18/3 19/20 42/23 paragraphs [2] 21/1 21/4 19/22 20/2 20/4 20/10 38/8 38/16 October 23rd [1] 34/25 Park [1] 9/20 Mr. Hilder [7] 7/6 7/12 7/17 8/22 22/4 Parkway [1] 4/5 October 6th [2] 34/25 42/23 35/17 39/11 off [7] 12/17 13/4 33/19 35/14 36/12 part [6] 20/16 31/22 31/24 32/13 32/23 Mr. Hilder's [1] 37/23 42/14 39/4 40/18 **Mr. Hrvatin [2]** 6/12 45/2 offense [6] 21/12 23/24 24/20 28/4 particular [1] 42/3 Mr. Knight [1] 6/21 28/22 28/25 particulars [4] 7/6 18/13 19/5 20/8 Mr. Mallett [2] 6/10 38/22 offenses [4] 22/22 22/22 22/23 23/19 passports [1] 6/24 Mr. Matlock [2] 5/23 5/25 offering [2] 44/6 44/7 past [2] 14/3 40/16 Mr. Williams [6] 10/11 13/22 14/8 15/8 offerings [3] 25/9 26/19 27/6 PC [1] 2/20 15/10 22/5 PDF [2] 12/7 12/11 Office [1] 17/15 Mr. Williams's [3] 14/10 33/17 44/3 **OFFICIAL [2]** 4/8 45/14 pending [2] 33/18 38/8 Ms. [1] 17/25 Oh [1] 9/18 people [10] 15/18 20/4 27/5 30/17 **Ms. Cordova [1]** 17/25 30/17 32/2 32/7 32/22 33/8 33/8 Okay [9] 8/25 12/15 14/7 22/4 27/16 much [6] 10/21 23/11 32/18 33/3 33/3 32/5 33/13 38/22 41/20 percentage [1] 32/19 33/21 omissions [1] 32/21 Perhaps [1] 31/14 Murtha [2] 3/20 6/19 omitted [1] 23/21 period [3] 10/14 10/16 12/8 must [1] 32/24 once [1] 15/10 permitted [1] 42/15 my [14] 5/18 8/23 12/7 17/15 18/1 one [35] 9/2 11/21 11/22 11/23 12/6 **PERRY [1]** 2/7 25/18 25/19 27/14 36/5 36/12 40/14 13/19 14/2 15/25 16/4 17/10 17/25 person [1] 27/14 40/17 41/4 42/14 18/9 22/11 22/25 23/21 25/1 25/2 perspective [1] 41/2 26/22 27/4 27/21 27/25 29/1 29/24 Ν **Philip [2]** 2/19 6/3 31/24 32/10 32/10 34/4 34/16 36/8 phrase [3] 24/5 24/9 24/17 **nab** [1] 40/10 36/16 37/8 37/24 41/16 43/13 43/25 piece [3] 14/24 26/12 26/22 name [1] 16/3 ones [1] 23/21 pieces [2] 8/8 16/23 narrow [1] 24/5 pillars [1] 8/8 online [1] 7/25 nature [3] 21/11 21/19 33/1 only [6] 9/4 10/9 11/6 20/22 27/25 PJ [1] 2/7 necessarily [3] 7/7 30/21 33/10 39/16 placed [1] 38/10 need [19] 7/9 7/14 9/10 9/14 9/21 11/5 open [4] 29/9 29/13 29/20 33/4 placement [1] 25/10 12/21 12/25 14/23 16/12 17/1 17/2 operated [1] 21/3 placements [1] 26/19 17/3 17/4 18/25 34/19 37/10 38/3 opinion [6] 15/19 30/5 40/20 41/12 plain [2] 23/4 23/7 39/13 43/24 44/7 play [3] 9/12 37/5 37/17 needed [2] 24/2 36/10 opinions [1] 9/17 played [1] 39/9 neutral [1] 36/7 **opportunity** [1] 40/16 plea [1] 39/21 never [2] 17/17 25/6 opposed [1] 44/17 pleading [1] 19/13 new [3] 1/23 14/9 27/17 opposite [1] 8/6 plenty [1] 34/18 Nine [6] 18/5 19/9 19/11 19/16 20/11 plurality [2] 40/19 41/12 order [9] 16/17 16/24 17/3 36/5 37/4 21/10 point [9] 7/8 8/14 13/8 14/3 15/20 16/6 37/9 38/19 39/4 42/13 Ninth [1] 24/12 ordered [1] 16/8 31/11 37/4 37/8 **no [29]** 3/4 6/7 11/7 12/1 13/19 14/12 ordering [1] 42/11 pointed [1] 21/20 14/17 14/17 15/11 16/2 18/8 19/12 other [23] 11/3 11/5 14/3 15/18 19/20 pointing [1] 13/1 19/23 19/23 20/1 20/2 20/21 22/6 20/4 23/21 24/7 25/7 26/18 28/9 28/20 points [1] 36/17 25/17 27/25 29/1 29/2 29/18 29/20 30/2 30/9 30/17 30/24 31/15 33/15 Ponzi [1] 27/4 29/24 38/7 40/5 40/14 42/10 34/1 35/12 37/2 37/8 44/9 portion [1] 33/8 none [4] 18/20 27/3 28/20 29/3 others [1] 21/23 possibly [3] 27/2 32/18 34/6 **normal** [1] 20/25 Otherwise [2] 9/12 17/10 post [2] 35/22 39/9 **NORMAND** [1] 2/23 our [16] 8/8 9/1 18/19 19/4 28/3 30/6 practical [1] 35/2 **Northwest [1]** 1/23 36/1 36/2 36/19 36/24 37/3 37/13 precise [2] 12/2 16/16 not [73] 38/19 38/21 39/24 43/4 predicate [2] 25/1 26/23 nothing [3] 20/1 27/7 42/3 out [21] 6/23 7/4 7/12 7/22 12/12 prefer [1] 16/25 notice [4] 18/24 20/5 21/7 36/25 prejudice [1] 33/17 14/24 15/1 17/16 21/20 21/22 22/1 novel [2] 27/23 27/25 23/11 30/12 31/6 32/5 32/5 34/5 37/6 preloaded [1] 21/21 now [15] 8/9 14/8 14/15 14/20 15/3

PCase 4:22-cr-00612 Docum	Ranch [3] 2/5	Reyes [3] 2/8 2/11-5/24 RGLS [1] 19/18 53 Of 56
present [2] 18/18 21/17	range [3] 13/14 16/20 21/9 13/24 11	RGLS [1] 19/46 33 01 30
	ranges [3] 8/17 9/25 10/1	Rhonda [1] 39/9
presenting [1] 14/1	read [5] 10/12 14/13 14/14 29/17	right [29] 6/2 6/5 6/17 14/8 15/9 16/11
presents [1] 27/23	39/12	20/13 23/24 26/3 28/13 28/16 30/3
presumably [1] 29/17	reading [5] 21/6 21/10 22/2 24/15 35/7	
presumption [1] 36/19		
pretense [1] 18/10	readings [2] 28/20 28/24	39/20 39/20 40/2 40/6 40/8 40/25
pretrial [3] 34/20 34/24 42/22	real [1] 31/22	40/25 42/21 43/15 43/25 44/23
pretty [5] 8/5 15/24 16/11 26/25 33/21	realistic [2] 34/8 34/16	RMR [2] 4/9 45/13
price [7] 21/24 25/23 30/13 30/15 31/2	really [11] 5/3 6/8 7/5 7/8 14/2 19/13	Road [1] 2/5
	20/21 25/18 27/24 33/25 43/19	robbing [1] 5/19
31/4 44/13	reason [5] 5/3 7/3 13/20 14/12 18/14	role [4] 19/6 20/8 20/9 37/16
prices [1] 31/7	reasons [3] 7/4 31/25 34/16	roll [1] 5/11
principal [1] 36/17	received [1] 40/12	rolling [1] 37/9
printed [1] 12/12	receiving [1] 10/7	
prior [5] 18/13 25/22 36/5 40/23 41/4		rollingly [1] 38/3
private [3] 25/10 26/19 32/15	recently [1] 38/10	Rolls [1] 41/8
probably [2] 34/21 37/2	recessed [1] 39/7	Rolls-Royce [1] 41/8
probing [1] 43/3	reciprocal [1] 43/10	roof [1] 32/4
problem [4] 8/12 9/7 25/16 38/7	recommendation [2] 25/3 25/5	room [4] 4/10 7/25 12/13 25/18
	record [3] 5/8 42/14 45/9	Rosen [2] 2/23 6/4
proceedings [3] 4/13 4/20 45/9	recorded [1] 4/13	Ross [1] 3/21
proceeds [1] 44/8	records [2] 8/4 8/5	rotten [1] 9/20
process [1] 26/21	reference [1] 22/18	round [1] 12/5
produce [7] 7/20 14/12 16/10 37/9		
38/4 38/4 43/4	refers [1] 22/15	Royce [1] 41/8
produced [2] 4/13 12/5	refile [1] 15/12	ruled [1] 5/4
production [3] 8/9 14/9 15/19	regard [1] 23/18	rules [1] 7/14
proffer [1] 43/24	regardless [1] 41/11	ruling [2] 7/1 42/18
	registered [1] 26/17	running [2] 27/5 44/2
profit [2] 19/25 44/1	regulations [1] 24/9	Rusk [1] 4/10
promoting [1] 33/6	release [1] 38/9	RYBARCZYK [2] 2/18 6/2
prong [1] 20/22	relevant [4] 12/8 12/18 13/7 28/19	
proof [2] 16/5 21/16		S
property [3] 22/13 40/13 40/15	relied [3] 30/19 32/7 37/21	
proposed [2] 36/8 43/24	remember [1] 36/13	said [17] 9/2 11/10 11/11 12/16 12/24
prosecutors [1] 19/6	remotely [1] 17/18	14/4 14/20 15/1 15/4 17/15 29/24 34/1
	remove [1] 38/9	34/3 34/4 34/17 41/11 42/22
protect [1] 20/19	repeating [1] 11/16	sale [22] 23/13 24/6 24/10 24/18 24/22
protection [1] 20/23	replace [1] 24/3	25/24 25/24 25/25 26/6 26/8 26/24
prove [3] 30/11 37/20 37/21	replaced [1] 9/21	27/2 27/8 27/21 28/4 28/22 28/25 29/5
provide [6] 13/24 14/4 15/25 16/1	replies [1] 12/15	30/20 31/13 31/19 33/10
16/16 36/2	report [10] 16/17 16/18 17/1 25/3 25/5	
provided [2] 10/7 18/15		sales [2] 27/11 29/21
provides [1] 22/17	43/16 43/18 44/19 44/21 44/22	same [3] 13/21 24/3 25/8
providing [2] 13/13 16/19	REPORTER [2] 4/8 45/14	sank [1] 11/13
provision [2] 22/24 24/3	reports [3] 43/5 43/6 43/17	SAPER [1] 3/7
	representation [4] 18/6 18/8 18/11	saw [1] 36/8
public [4] 8/7 27/14 32/19 36/25	19/23	say [13] 9/21 9/25 11/4 12/7 12/15
pump [4] 21/8 21/21 32/25 33/1	representations [2] 18/25 31/5	15/12 16/2 22/1 30/10 30/14 32/1
pumped [1] 30/13	represents [1] 26/5	32/15 38/5
punishable [3] 28/5 28/23 29/1		saying [11] 8/6 8/7 9/18 10/4 11/15
purchase [6] 24/10 24/18 26/5 29/18	request [1] 38/17	11/16 12/15 13/2 26/7 27/10 28/8
30/23 33/9	requested [1] 38/19	
purchased [4] 29/16 41/4 41/8 42/4	require [1] 15/23	says [4] 16/17 26/13 27/10 28/4
purchasing [3] 26/9 26/20 33/2	required [2] 21/18 21/18	schedule [8] 33/20 33/21 33/23 34/8
purpose [2] 41/1 41/17	requirements [2] 13/5 43/18	35/2 35/18 37/14 43/1
	resembled [1] 17/18	scheduled [1] 34/20
pushing [1] 7/22	respect [6] 12/16 15/9 19/7 19/15	scheduling [4] 16/17 16/24 39/4 42/22
put [6] 12/15 16/14 16/22 20/4 28/21	19/22 20/6	scheme [14] 21/2 21/8 21/20 21/21
39/25	respectfully [2] 36/20 38/17	26/14 26/16 28/2 28/19 32/1 32/13
putting [2] 37/22 39/15		32/25 33/2 41/5 42/6
	respond [5] 7/13 7/17 8/24 17/4 17/8	
Q	response [5] 6/7 7/14 36/1 38/20	schemes [1] 27/4
Quentin [1] 2/20	38/21	Scott [2] 1/21 5/13
question [3] 25/15 27/24 39/16	responses [1] 37/10	scrutinized [1] 18/16
quite [4] 7/5 27/2 43/23 44/14	restricted [1] 34/3	SEC [1] 12/20
	restrictions [1] 34/5	second [2] 9/1 20/22
quotes [1] 43/25	resumed [1] 39/8	secondly [2] 28/17 40/8
R	retain [1] 39/20	section [6] 12/23 20/5 22/15 22/16
	retaining [1] 41/17	23/1 23/8
racketeering [2] 22/19 24/21		Section 1348 [3] 20/5 23/1 23/8
raise [1] 21/24	return [1] 6/24	Section 1956 [1] 22/16
raised [3] 8/15 13/22 25/6	review [2] 9/14 42/8	Jection 1990 [1] 22/10
	1	

29/16 29/16 33/24 35/13 39/10 ent 659/16 33/24 on 04/15/24 in somebody [1] structure [1] 23/8 subdivide [1] 96/104 of 56 S_{Case 4:22-cr-00612} Docum Section 1957 [1] 22/15 somehow [1] 11/24 subject [2] 40/13 40/15 securities [31] 18/7 18/8 19/22 20/6 someone [6] 27/17 29/22 29/23 30/24 submission [1] 37/3 23/13 23/16 24/2 24/6 24/8 24/11 submitted [4] 35/21 35/22 39/17 41/25 31/14 44/9 24/18 24/23 25/9 25/25 26/17 26/18 something [8] 6/23 7/24 15/12 17/9 subscription [3] 26/4 26/5 26/6 26/23 26/24 27/8 27/22 28/5 28/10 34/23 38/5 39/24 43/19 **Subsection [1]** 22/20 28/23 28/25 29/5 29/8 29/9 29/12 sometime [1] 17/7 substantial [1] 40/20 29/25 31/19 33/11 somewhat [1] 12/19 substantive [5] 10/21 11/9 12/4 22/23 security [5] 24/4 25/20 26/9 29/21 40/17 soon [1] 34/6 29/22 sorry [4] 5/20 20/23 22/8 38/21 such [2] 28/1 44/7 see [13] 7/16 11/7 11/13 12/18 15/21 sufficiency [1] 15/19 sort [1] 27/1 24/7 24/8 25/7 27/9 27/11 27/13 28/12 **South [2]** 2/5 3/11 sufficient [2] 20/18 20/23 33/20 **SOUTHERN [2]** 1/1 25/4 suggest [2] 15/15 28/11 seeing [1] 10/20 speak [1] 35/18 suggested [1] 11/18 seeking [1] 40/10 speaks [2] 23/15 27/22 **suggestion** [1] 37/23 seeks [1] 28/17 suggestions [2] 39/10 40/4 special [1] 42/3 seem [3] 13/10 27/20 35/8 specific [13] 12/24 19/12 19/19 19/25 Suite [9] 1/17 2/9 2/12 2/15 3/8 3/14 seems [1] 27/25 20/1 20/6 21/4 21/8 21/9 22/17 24/21 3/18 3/21 4/5 seen [3] 35/24 36/11 39/18 34/10 44/11 **summary [1]** 11/2 segregate [2] 12/17 13/4 specifically [5] 23/3 23/6 24/2 25/19 **superseding [5]** 8/18 10/13 14/13 seize [2] 40/13 40/23 42/4 19/18 36/23 self [1] 15/16 specifics [1] 7/7 supply [1] 33/5 **self-imposed [1]** 15/16 specified [3] 22/14 22/16 23/9 **support** [1] 37/1 sell [7] 25/12 25/20 29/7 29/11 29/14 **speedy [1]** 38/15 **Supreme [1]** 40/18 32/5 41/16 **sure [10]** 5/2 5/6 8/11 8/23 11/17 spreadsheet [2] 12/9 13/1 selling [2] 24/22 26/21 31/23 34/14 35/10 35/12 41/6 stack [1] 18/1 send [1] 37/5 stadium [1] 9/22 **surprises** [1] 11/7 sense [3] 26/8 28/20 28/21 stand [3] 19/6 19/6 42/9 suspect [2] 10/1 12/13 sent [2] 30/12 36/2 standard [1] 42/2 **Sutton [3]** 2/11 2/11 5/25 sentencing [1] 38/2 start [4] 13/9 13/9 34/11 34/14 syllable [1] 5/19 **separated** [1] 12/9 started [2] 32/2 34/16 **September [2]** 8/13 15/5 Т starting [1] 13/8 services [1] 22/25 table [1] 5/14 state [1] 29/4 set [4] 5/7 7/4 7/12 33/24 statement [8] 18/20 18/23 18/23 18/24 take [13] 10/23 12/16 12/17 15/15 **sets [1]** 43/19 23/11 24/3 31/16 33/16 36/14 38/11 19/13 20/1 21/15 39/24 seven [1] 16/5 38/12 44/25 45/2 statements [12] 16/4 18/15 18/17 **share [1]** 30/12 18/18 19/12 19/14 19/15 19/19 19/19 taken [2] 7/20 36/20 shares [4] 21/22 21/25 32/16 33/3 20/4 21/14 38/6 talk [5] 17/1 19/11 42/25 44/11 44/12 **she** [1] 44/6 **STATES [15]** 1/1 1/4 1/11 1/16 1/22 talking [9] 14/3 21/1 25/8 27/4 27/5 **Shepherd [1]** 3/11 5/9 5/14 27/19 28/5 28/23 29/2 29/2 27/11 34/17 42/21 44/10 **shifting [1]** 13/22 34/12 40/19 41/11 target [1] 24/21 should [7] 5/11 8/19 12/3 20/12 43/16 statute [10] 12/24 18/7 19/8 20/6 23/7 Tate [3] 2/20 6/3 8/24 43/18 43/19 tease [1] 11/12 25/14 28/10 29/3 29/19 33/12 **show [2]** 7/2 41/6 tell [5] 7/16 7/17 9/6 13/4 30/10 statutes [1] 23/16 sic [1] 23/3 statutory [3] 27/24 28/2 28/19 telling [1] 32/2 side [5] 30/2 30/9 30/24 31/15 35/11 stay [2] 34/24 42/7 term [1] 28/18 sides [2] 29/21 33/9 terms [1] 29/19 staying [1] 42/10 signed [2] 41/24 42/1 test [1] 20/17 **STEFAN [1]** 3/5 **significant [2]** 32/23 33/7 testify [3] 37/18 38/2 43/20 stenography [1] 4/13 simpler [1] 29/4 testimony [2] 43/23 44/14 step [3] 33/19 35/14 39/4 **simply [1]** 26/11 **TEXAS** [15] 1/1 1/5 1/18 2/6 2/10 2/13 steps [1] 21/21 **Sina [3]** 3/13 3/13 6/15 2/16 2/21 3/8 3/12 3/15 3/19 3/22 4/6 still [2] 32/5 34/22 single [2] 25/1 28/10 4/11 stock [24] 10/8 10/13 10/15 10/16 sit [3] 16/25 33/19 35/15 text [4] 23/12 28/1 28/1 28/7 10/21 12/11 12/14 12/16 12/20 12/22 **sitting [1]** 18/1 than [3] 11/11 14/16 18/21 14/25 15/1 20/7 25/12 25/23 30/12 situation [1] 26/6 thank [19] 7/19 17/12 17/22 17/23 30/23 31/6 31/8 32/4 32/6 33/2 33/5 six [2] 22/23 23/19 20/16 27/16 33/14 37/7 37/25 39/6 33/9 Sixth [3] 2/24 40/22 40/25 39/15 40/7 41/19 42/12 42/20 43/2 stocks [13] 9/8 9/11 10/23 11/6 11/10 slightly [1] 27/1 44/23 44/24 45/4 13/3 13/7 13/17 32/13 32/16 32/17 **smallest [1]** 36/21 32/22 32/23 thankfully [1] 15/17 so [67] that's [29] 5/3 6/25 9/7 9/8 9/10 9/19 stone [1] 33/24 social [8] 7/23 9/19 9/23 10/5 11/8 stop [3] 10/11 28/6 28/12 9/22 10/6 10/7 11/12 11/12 12/2 13/12 12/5 12/18 13/2 14/22 15/14 17/11 19/4 19/8 23/13 Stradley [2] 2/14 6/1 sold [7] 21/25 29/12 30/13 30/14 23/21 26/7 28/9 28/12 29/22 34/8 35/3 straightforward [1] 30/20 30/14 31/7 32/24 40/2 40/15 44/13 Street [3] 2/24 3/14 3/18 solid [1] 34/14 their [22] 7/23 7/24 8/1 8/3 8/7 10/2 stressing [2] 15/3 26/3 some [10] 5/4 12/19 12/20 17/24 21/4

T_{Case 4:22-cr-00612} Docum their... [16] 10/4 10/14 10/15 10/15 Title [2] 22/21 23/19 15/1 15/6 15/16 15/19 17/20 21/25 today [5] 23/14 25/6 38/11 38/12 25/23 32/2 32/8 32/15 32/20 35/11 38/15 them [20] 7/4 10/18 10/18 10/24 11/3 today's [1] 6/9 12/6 13/7 13/15 13/20 16/7 17/21 together [7] 14/24 16/23 28/21 34/15 24/25 27/7 28/21 32/3 34/4 37/17 38/4 39/16 39/25 42/25 39/11 44/22 told [5] 9/5 11/6 13/12 13/15 34/9 themselves [1] 21/25 **TOM** [1] 3/9 then [23] 7/16 9/15 10/9 11/7 15/12 toothed [1] 25/1 16/22 19/13 21/3 21/7 21/24 26/10 top [2] 11/14 36/12 26/17 30/13 32/9 32/20 32/22 33/5 tortured [1] 24/15 33/7 35/17 37/5 37/23 37/24 39/21 total [1] 43/12 there [40] 8/9 9/5 9/10 10/11 11/7 tout [1] 32/6 13/12 17/15 18/8 19/21 20/21 20/22 track [3] 5/3 5/6 20/18 20/23 21/3 21/7 26/22 26/23 28/12 trade [6] 9/24 10/2 10/5 12/17 19/24 29/15 29/17 29/18 29/21 30/1 30/10 30/3 30/23 31/14 31/15 31/18 31/20 32/9 traded [1] 19/24 33/9 33/24 34/1 34/9 35/12 36/10 41/7 trades [3] 10/15 14/25 19/2 41/15 42/3 43/10 44/25 trading [6] 8/3 8/5 8/6 20/2 32/16 there's [11] 9/4 14/12 14/17 14/17 44/10 15/11 19/23 19/23 25/17 26/12 30/9 transaction [8] 25/19 25/20 25/21 40/14 29/21 30/24 31/15 32/10 33/10 therefore [6] 12/25 19/3 19/21 20/7 transactions [8] 10/8 22/13 27/12 20/11 33/4 27/13 29/10 31/16 31/19 32/15 these [20] 5/4 12/16 15/6 18/9 26/2 transcript [3] 1/10 4/13 45/8 30/18 31/19 32/17 32/22 35/13 36/6 transcription [1] 4/13 36/7 37/11 38/5 42/2 42/3 42/7 43/6 transfer [1] 42/15 43/18 44/7 trend [1] 25/8 they [138] trial [19] 5/6 5/7 8/13 9/12 9/13 11/1 they're [1] 11/2 14/1 14/6 17/2 18/18 21/17 34/1 34/2 thing [1] 27/21 34/21 34/23 34/25 37/17 38/16 42/23 things [2] 12/16 36/15 tried [1] 15/6 think [42] 7/4 7/11 9/16 13/4 13/11 14/2 16/2 17/6 17/10 17/25 20/7 23/3 truly [1] 43/5 23/6 23/11 23/15 24/13 24/19 25/25 try [3] 23/11 34/8 34/9 26/25 27/25 30/5 30/11 31/8 33/15 trying [3] 13/19 17/14 27/1 34/23 35/6 36/16 37/1 37/13 37/16 turn [1] 15/12 37/17 37/20 37/23 38/3 38/15 39/18 tweet [2] 20/1 44/12 41/6 41/13 42/23 43/4 43/19 44/16 tweeted [1] 21/22 third [2] 12/10 20/22 tweeting [1] 25/24 this [68] tweets [8] 7/24 8/4 10/15 12/11 18/19 Thomas [2] 1/16 40/21 19/2 30/13 32/7 Thomas's [1] 41/12 twenty [4] 2/24 10/24 10/25 22/11 those [25] 8/7 10/1 11/6 12/25 13/14 Twenty-one [1] 22/11 13/17 18/17 18/18 19/1 19/4 19/8 Twenty-Sixth [1] 2/24 19/19 20/12 22/22 23/19 25/16 27/7 twitter [1] 12/7 31/9 32/7 32/22 33/8 34/5 34/21 38/4 two [11] 8/8 9/2 9/6 10/24 11/18 28/8 42/11 29/21 33/9 38/5 40/16 41/3 though [2] 28/13 28/18 twofold [1] 43/25 thought [1] 36/10 types [1] 24/21 three [6] 12/6 19/9 20/16 21/21 40/16 three-part [1] 20/16 through [12] 10/24 11/19 18/19 24/24 24/25 25/11 25/23 28/2 28/3 32/4 41/5

42/5

21/8

tied [1] 41/9

37/10 40/14 41/4

timely [1] 7/21

ticker [6] 12/22 16/3 16/4 16/6 19/13

time [15] 7/8 7/9 9/6 9/14 12/8 15/20

17/4 20/3 23/1 31/20 32/11 34/19

tickers [9] 8/16 13/13 13/14 13/23

16/1 16/20 21/5 31/6 44/1

tickers and [2] 8/16 16/20

U.S [3] 4/10 17/14 24/12 unbelievable [1] 31/18 unbelievably [1] 7/2 unconstitutional [1] 41/15 under [15] 11/13 12/23 18/7 22/20 22/23 23/9 28/5 28/23 29/1 29/2 29/19 33/11 33/16 40/22 41/11 underpinnings [1] 16/21 understand [6] 10/17 11/15 11/17 16/9 27/11 41/16 understanding [2] 40/14 40/17 **Understood** [1] 44/18 unfolding [1] 7/16

tip [2] 510/20 11/12 on 04/15/24 in UNITED [15] 1/1 1/4 1/11 1/16 1/22 Titanic [1] 1/1/3 d on 04/15/24 in 34/12 40/19 41/11 **unknowingly** [1] 25/13 unlawful [3] 22/14 22/16 23/9 unless [1] 16/7 unpublished [2] 25/3 25/4 unregistered [2] 25/9 26/18 untainted [1] 40/23 until [1] 15/16 up [33] 8/2 8/4 9/3 9/4 10/14 10/24 11/23 12/15 16/3 17/9 17/14 18/12 30/2 30/13 31/9 31/20 32/4 32/10 32/17 32/21 33/2 33/5 33/20 33/21 37/11 37/20 37/21 38/11 38/12 38/24 44/11 44/25 45/2 upstairs [1] 39/2 us [9] 9/6 9/9 9/16 11/10 12/5 13/4 19/2 37/9 37/15 usable [1] 7/21 **USC [1]** 22/15 use [4] 23/17 24/9 24/16 40/25 used [1] 24/5 useful [2] 7/10 9/18 uses [1] 25/1 using [2] 36/22 43/25 utility [2] 10/6 10/10

valueless [1] 27/6 values [1] 12/9 vehicle [3] 41/7 41/8 41/17 versus [2] 1/5 5/9 very [5] 15/21 35/1 40/20 41/12 42/2 true [5] 18/22 18/23 18/23 31/12 37/11 victim [4] 30/2 30/9 35/21 38/5 victims [9] 30/20 30/21 31/8 31/22 32/7 32/9 37/12 37/17 39/20 view [3] 19/4 36/19 36/24 viewing [1] 35/12 violated [2] 20/5 25/14 violation [2] 18/11 40/24 visit [2] 17/8 39/4 volume [4] 32/21 32/24 44/10 44/11 voluminous [3] 9/16 9/23 9/24 voluntarily [2] 8/16 14/5

W

walked [1] 28/3

WALKER [2] 3/17 3/20 walking [1] 40/12 wallpaper [2] 12/10 13/2 want [24] 5/5 8/22 14/9 15/4 15/5 15/6 15/10 16/24 17/19 18/12 19/10 33/8 33/22 33/23 34/2 34/5 34/8 34/14 34/15 35/2 36/6 36/7 40/1 41/20 wanted [2] 24/16 31/25 wants [2] 20/13 37/15 warning [1] 17/20 warrant [2] 40/13 41/7 warrants [5] 41/24 41/24 42/4 42/7 42/10 was [29] 5/19 9/2 12/6 12/8 12/11 15/14 18/22 18/23 18/23 21/2 21/3 22/25 23/1 23/8 24/21 25/2 25/6 25/20 25/25 29/20 30/15 31/1 31/21 38/10

40/12 41/7 42/22 43/20 43/22

Washington [1] 1/23

WCase 4:22-cr-00612 worthless [1]-27/6 would [32] 5/22 12/93 13/5/13/2/3 in TXSD Page 56 of 56 Docum wasn't [1] 29/17 17/6 18/17 20/7 21/24 24/4 27/7 29/6 water [1] 11/13 31/8 32/14 32/17 32/19 32/20 32/22 way [5] 6/23 7/13 24/14 38/18 41/13 33/19 34/24 35/20 35/22 37/8 37/13 ways [4] 18/16 28/8 34/4 35/12 37/17 37/20 37/20 38/16 40/24 41/9 we [140] 42/8 43/13 we'll [2] 12/7 43/15 Wouldn't [1] 29/4 we're [5] 13/23 16/2 27/5 43/17 43/17 Wow [1] 27/17 We've [1] 9/24 writing [1] 11/10 websites [1] 30/18 written [2] 40/20 40/21 week [3] 13/16 34/10 42/1 weeks [1] 38/5 weigh [3] 8/22 15/20 41/20 y'all [14] 16/25 17/8 17/9 17/19 33/19 well [10] 8/14 13/6 16/15 23/7 24/8 34/7 34/9 37/6 38/24 39/3 39/4 39/11 29/12 29/15 30/21 31/7 38/16 42/25 45/3 went [3] 24/25 32/18 44/11 Yeah [1] 34/17 were [23] 18/18 19/19 25/2 25/9 27/12 year [2] 10/2 19/12 30/22 31/7 31/8 31/9 31/18 32/14 yes [10] 11/20 12/12 18/2 18/4 25/16 32/14 32/16 32/24 37/22 38/1 41/3 35/20 36/4 40/11 43/2 43/9 41/7 41/25 42/1 42/4 42/5 42/25 yesterday [2] 10/12 14/14 were illegally [1] 42/5 yet [3] 9/7 11/5 44/7 whack [1] 9/12 Yifei [2] 1/22 5/15 whack-amole [1] 9/12 Yoakum [1] 3/7 what [63] York [1] 1/23 whatever [3] 10/3 32/3 35/1 you [108] when [14] 5/7 11/1 11/6 12/4 16/18 You're [1] 17/2 28/20 33/19 33/25 34/9 34/11 34/15 your [49] 42/21 43/14 44/12 yours [1] 17/25 where [13] 8/12 12/17 12/21 13/22 13/23 17/7 17/13 18/14 23/12 26/3 32/1 39/13 43/17 Zadeh [3] 3/13 3/13 6/15 whether [3] 17/3 20/17 29/22 **Zheng [2]** 1/22 5/15 which [30] 7/25 9/12 9/20 11/17 15/16 18/6 18/16 19/15 19/15 19/16 19/24 20/9 21/17 21/20 21/24 22/18 23/1 24/9 25/8 26/12 26/22 27/2 27/3 30/5 31/7 32/13 34/4 39/17 41/13 44/2 while [4] 33/20 34/7 40/8 45/1 who [18] 5/12 5/23 6/1 6/19 16/15 20/13 21/2 26/10 26/20 26/21 27/5 27/5 30/10 32/7 32/20 33/8 41/16 44/6 who's [1] 44/10 Whoever [1] 30/14 whole [4] 12/22 28/2 36/14 45/1 why [14] 7/11 7/12 7/18 10/14 13/20 18/22 19/8 20/5 20/10 26/7 34/16 36/6 38/24 43/22 will [30] 5/21 8/9 9/21 12/2 12/21 13/25 16/13 17/8 17/9 17/10 25/7 26/1 26/4 27/13 33/22 34/10 34/11 35/17 37/5 38/15 39/3 39/4 39/5 39/11 39/22 41/2 42/16 43/14 44/12 44/20 William [1] 2/14 Williams [10] 2/20 6/4 8/24 8/25 10/11 13/22 14/8 15/8 15/10 22/5 Williams's [3] 14/10 33/17 44/3 wire [2] 22/24 25/5 within [2] 10/8 35/1 without [6] 5/5 10/7 18/7 19/14 33/17 42/13 witnesses [1] 34/13 won't [1] 11/4 word [1] 24/3 words [1] 26/19 work [4] 7/18 14/21 16/7 17/21 worked [1] 17/16 worried [2] 7/7 7/8